arXiv:1607.07633v2 [math.RA] 20 Apr 2017

ON THE FINITE DUAL OF A COCOMMUTATIVE HOPF ALGEBROID. APPLICATION TO LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL MATRIX EQUATIONS.

LAIACHI EL KAOUTIT AND JOSÉ GÓMEZ-TORRECILLAS

Abstract. A fundamental tool of Differential Galois Theory is the assignment of an algebraic group to each finite-dimensional differential module over differential field in such a way that the category of differential modules it generates is equivalent, as a symmetric monoidal category, to the category of representations of the group. This result can be obtained by means of a Tannaka reconstruction process, applied to the abelian category of finite-dimensional differential modules. In this paper, we explore the possibility of extending this theory when the differential field is replaced by a more general differential ring A. In this case, it is reasonable to deal with differential modules which are finitely generated and projective over A. A major obstacle is that this category is not abelian, in contrast with the classical case when A is a field. To overcome this difficulty, we develop some fundamental results concerning the *finite dual*, hereby introduced, of a cocommutative Hopf algebroid, and a canonical monoidal functor sending (differential) modules to comodules. This functor is proved to be an equivalence of categories whenever a canonical ring homomorphism, which is introduced in this work, with domain in the aforementioned finite dual and with values in the convolutional ring, is injective. Module-theoretical sufficient conditions to get its injectivity are investigated. This machinery is applied to differential modules.

Introduction

Given a Lie-Rinehart algebra L over a Dedekind domain A, we construct an affine groupoid over (the spectrum of) A whose category of A–profinite representations is isomorphic, as a monoidal symmetric category, to the category of A–profinite right modules over the universal enveloping algebroid of L, that is, the category of representations of L. In particular, this applies to the global sections of any Lie algebroid over an irreducible smooth curve over an algebraically closed field. For instance, if A is the coordinate algebra of the complex affine line $\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ and L is the module of global sections of the transitive Lie algebroid of vector fields, then we have a monoidal equivalence between the category of all differential modules $\mathbf{Diff}_A$ and the category of A-profinite comodules over a commutative Hopf algebroid U° the *finite dual* of the first Weyl $\mathbb{C}$–algebra U viewed as the universal enveloping algebroid of L. Assume now we are given a differential A-module (or equivalently a linear differential matrix equation) (M, ∂) of rank m and consider the category $\langle M\rangle_\otimes$ of all finite sub-quotient differential modules of (M, ∂). Then, in analogy with the classical differential Galois theory, we show that there exists an affine algebraic $\mathbb{C}$–groupoid $\mathscr{H}_M$ whose category of representations is equivalent as a monoidal symmetric category to $\langle M\rangle_\otimes$. It turns out that the representing algebra of (the objects of) $\mathscr{H}_M$ is a finitely generated sub-Hopf algebroid of U°. Furthermore, we show that the (fibre) groupoid $\mathscr{H}_M(\mathbb{C})$ is transitive and there is a monomorphism $\mathscr{H}_M(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{G}^m$ of groupoids, where $\mathscr{G}^m = (\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \times GL_m(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}}; \mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}})$ is the pull-back groupoid of the general linear group $GL_m(\mathbb{C})$ along the map $\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \to \{*\}$. The algebraic groupoid $\mathscr{H}_M(\mathbb{C})$ which unique up to weak equivalences, is then termed *the differential Galois groupoid* attached to (M, ∂).

We already work in a more general context. Thus, let U be a co-commutative right Hopf algebroid over a commutative ring A, and let $\mathcal{A}_U$ be the category of all right U–modules which are finitely generated and projective as A–modules (A–profinite, for short). The category $\mathcal{A}_U$ is monoidal symmetric and rigid, and obviously additive, but it is not abelian in general (even if A is a Dedekind domain). Thus, the Tannakian recontruction from [8, 4] will not produce a monoidal equivalence from $\mathcal{A}_U$ to the category of A–profinite

Date: April 21, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 18D10, 13N10, 16W25; Secondary 13B99, 16T10, 20G99.

Key words and phrases. Lie algebroids, Hopf algebroids, Differential modules, Linear differential equations, Rings of differential operators, Weyl algebra, Tannaka reconstruction, differential Galois groupoid.

Supported by grant MTM2013–41992-P from the Spanish Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad and the European Union FEDER.

representations of some affine groupoid. However, we will show that such a reconstruction process gives a commutative Hopf algebroid U° over A and, what is crucial to get our results in the case where $\mathcal{A}_U$ is not abelian, a homomorphism of rings ζ from U° to the convolution ring U^*. We prove that if $\zeta : U^\circ \to U^*$ is injective, then $\mathcal{A}_U$ is isomorphic, as a symmetric monoidal category, to the category $\mathcal{A}^{U^\circ}$ of A–profinite right U°–comodules. In particular, this machinery can be applied when $U = U(L)$ is the universal enveloping algebroid of a Lie-Rinehart algebra L over A, producing a an affine groupoid represented by U° with the 'same' representation theory than L.

We give some sufficient conditions for the injectivity of ζ. In particular, we show that ζ is injective for every co-commutative Hopf algebroid U whenever A is a Dedekind domain.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, besides the statement of the general notations used along the paper, we collect some basic information on Hopf algebroids an their relationship to Lie-Rinehart algebras. Section 2 is devoted to describe those aspects of the reconstruction process related to corings that will be useful in the sequel. The application of this reconstruction to a morphism of (possibly non commutative) rings $A \to R$ leads in Section 3 to the (right) finite dual A–coring R°, and a functor χ from the category $\mathcal{A}_R$ of A–profinite right R–modules and the category $\mathcal{A}^{R^\circ}$ of A–profinite right R°–comodules. In contrast with the case of algebras over a field, it is not known if this functor is an equivalence of categories for a general A–ring R. We construct a homomorphism of A–bimodules $\zeta : R^\circ \to R^*$, where R^* denotes the right dual, as an A–module, of R, and it is shown that, if ζ is injective, then $\chi : \mathcal{A}_R \to \mathcal{A}^{R^\circ}$ is an equivalence of categories (Proposition 3.3.2). A module-theoretical condition implying the injectivity of ζ are investigated in Proposition 3.3.4. As a consequence, χ is an equivalence of categories if A is a right hereditary right noetherian ring (e.g. if it is a Dedekind domain or a semi-simple Artinian ring).

Section 4 starts by showing that, if (U, A) is a right bialgebroid, then the map $\zeta : U^\circ \to U^*$ is a homomorphism of $A\otimes A^{op}$–rings. In fact, ζ becomes part of a canonical structure of left bialgebroid (U°, A). Theorem 4.2.2 contains our main contribution of Section 4, namely, the fact that if U is a cocommutative Hopf algebroid over a commutative ring A, then the functor χ is a monoidal equivalence between $\mathcal{A}_U$ and $\mathcal{A}^{U^\circ}$. Section 5 developes the aforementioned application of our theory to differential modules.

1. Preliminaries

1.1. **Notations and basic notions.** We work over a unital commutative ground ring K. All additive categories will be assumed to be K–additive categories, and additive functors between them will be K–linear. The notation $X \in \mathcal{A}$ stands for X is an object of the category $\mathcal{A}$. The identity morphism of an object $X \in \mathcal{A}$ is denoted simply by the object itself or by the symbol 1_X. Algebra means associative and unital K-algebra. We denote by $A^{\mathsf{e}} := A \otimes_K A^{op}$ the enveloping algebra of an algebra A, where A^{op} is the opposite algebra of A, whose elements are distinguished form those of A by using the denotation $a^o \in A^{op}$, for $a \in A$. Modules are unital modules, and (A, B)-bimodules are assumed to be central K-bimodules. We denote by ${}_A\mathsf{Mod}_B$ the category of all (A, B)-bimodules; ${}_A\mathsf{Mod}$ and Mod_B denote, respectively, the category of left A-modules and the category of right B-modules. The corresponding hom-set functors will be denoted, respectively, by $\mathrm{Hom}_{A\text{-}}(-,-)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\text{-}B}(-,-)$. For every (A, B)-bimodule ${}_AX_B$, we denote by $X^* = \mathrm{Hom}_{\text{-}B}(X, B)$ its right dual, while by ${}^*X = \mathrm{Hom}_{A\text{-}}(X, A)$ its left dual, which are considered as (B, A)-bimodules with the actions:

$$b\varphi a : x \mapsto b\varphi(ax), \quad b\psi a : x \mapsto \psi(xb)a, \text{ for all } x \in X, \varphi \in X^*, \psi \in {}^*X, a \in A, b \in B. \tag{1}$$

For every algebra A, let $add(A_A)$ denote the full sub-category of Mod_A whose objects are all finitely generated and projective (*fgp* for short) right A-modules; we also use the terminology *right A-profinite modules* for the objects in this sub-category. Given a morphism of algebras $\eta : A \to R$, we denote by $\eta_* : \mathsf{Mod}_R \to \mathsf{Mod}_A$ and ${}_*\eta : {}_R\mathsf{Mod} \to {}_A\mathsf{Mod}$ the corresponding restriction of scalars functors. It is clear that, if $\eta_*(R)_A$ is fgp we then have a functor $\eta_* : add(R_R) \to add(A_A)$.

An algebra R is said to be an *A-ring* if there is a morphism of algebras $\eta : A \to R$ (i.e. a *ring extension*). By restriction of scalars, R becomes an A-bimodule and its multiplication factors through the tensor product over A, that is, its multiplication can be understood as a map $\mu : R \otimes_A R \to R$. In this way the triple (R, η, μ) can be seen as a *monoid* in the monoidal category ${}_A\mathsf{Mod}_A$. Saying that R is an A^{e}-ring is equivalent to say that there is a morphism of algebras $\mathsf{s} : A \to R$ and an anti-morphism of algebras $\mathsf{t} : A \to R$ such that $\mathsf{s}(a)\mathsf{t}(a') = \mathsf{t}(a')\mathsf{s}(a)$, for every $a, a' \in A$.

Dually, an *A-coring* [29] is a *comonoid* object in the category ${}_A\mathsf{Mod}_A$. That is, a triple $(\mathfrak{C}, \varepsilon, \Delta)$, where $\mathfrak{C}$ is an A-bimodule together with two A-bilinear maps, the comultiplication and the counit $\Delta : \mathfrak{C} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C} \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}$,

$\varepsilon : \mathfrak{C} \longrightarrow A$ which satisfy the usual coassociativity and counitary properties. A *right* $\mathfrak{C}$*-comodule* is a right A-module M together with a right A-linear map $\varrho_M : M \to M \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}$ (right coaction) such that

$$(M \otimes_A \Delta_{\mathfrak{C}}) \circ \varrho_M = (\varrho_M \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}) \circ \varrho_M, \quad \text{and} \quad (M \otimes_A \varepsilon_{\mathfrak{C}}) \circ \varrho_M = M.$$

A *morphism* of right $\mathfrak{C}$-modules $f : M \to N$ (right colinear map) is right A-linear map such that $(f \otimes_A \mathfrak{C}) \circ \varrho_M = \varrho_N \circ f$. The category of all right $\mathfrak{C}$-comodules and their morphisms will be denoted by $\mathsf{Comod}_{\mathfrak{C}}$.

We recall from [25, 26, 28, 30] the definition and basic properties of (left, right) Hopf algebroids. A good survey on the subject is the monograph [3].

1.2. **Bialgebroids and Hopf algebroids.** Fix A a ground K-algebra, and let V be an A^{e}-ring via a ring homomorphism $\eta : A^{\mathsf{e}} \to V$ whose *source* and *target* maps are respectively denoted by $\mathsf{s} : A \to V$ and $\mathsf{t} : A^{op} \to V$. The pair (A, V) is said to be a *left biagebroid* (or V is a *left* $\times_A$*-bialgebra*) provided that its category of left V-modules is a monoidal category and the restriction of scalars functor $\mathscr{O}_l : {}_V\mathsf{Mod} \to {}_{A^{\mathsf{e}}}\mathsf{Mod}$, $\mathscr{O}_l = {}_*(\mathsf{s} \otimes \mathsf{t})$, is a strict monoidal functor. In particular, the underlying bimodule ${}_{A^{\mathsf{e}}}V$ admits a structure of A-coring, and if we denote by $\diamond$ the given tensor product of ${}_V\mathsf{Mod}$ and consider two objects $X, Y \in {}_V\mathsf{Mod}$, then the underlying left A^{e}-module of $X \diamond Y$ is the A-bimodule $X \otimes_A Y$ whose left V-action is given by the formula

$$v.(x \otimes_A y) \;=\; v_1 x \otimes_A v_2 y, \tag{2}$$

where $\Delta(v) = v_1 \otimes_A v_2$ is the comultiplication of the underlying A-coring of V.

It is known from [26, Proposition 3.3] that the category ${}_V\mathsf{Mod}$ is right closed with right inner hom-functors

$$hom_{{}_V\mathsf{Mod}}(X, Y) \;:=\; \mathrm{Hom}_{V\text{-}}(V \diamond X, Y), \tag{3}$$

where the left V-action of the right hand term is given by the V-bimodule $V \diamond X$ whose left V-action is (2) and its right V-action is induced by that of the factor V. This means that for any object $X \in {}_V\mathsf{Mod}$, the functor $- \diamond X : {}_V\mathsf{Mod} \to {}_V\mathsf{Mod}$ is a left adjoint to the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{V\text{-}}(V \diamond X, -) : {}_V\mathsf{Mod} \to {}_V\mathsf{Mod}$ called the *right inner hom-functor* and denoted by $hom_{{}_V\mathsf{Mod}}(X, -)$. This adjunction is easily seen once observed that $Y \diamond X \cong (V \diamond X) \otimes_V Y$ is a left V-linear isomorphism via the map $x \otimes_A y \mapsto (1 \otimes_A x) \otimes_V y$, and it uses the usual Hom-tensor adjunction isomorphism to get

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{V\text{-}}(Y \diamond X, Z) \;\cong\; \mathrm{Hom}_{V\text{-}}((V \diamond X) \otimes_V Y, Z) \;\cong\; \mathrm{Hom}_{V\text{-}}(Y, \mathrm{Hom}_{V\text{-}}(V \diamond X, Z)).$$

If we want to compute the right inner hom-functors in the category of modules ${}_V\mathsf{Mod}$ via the forgetful functor $\mathscr{O}_l$ in the monoidal category ${}_{A^{\mathsf{e}}}\mathsf{Mod}$, as was assumed for the tensor product $\diamond$; then it is better to resume the previous situation in form of a diagram. So with the previous notations, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{Hom}_{V\text{-}}(Y \diamond X, Z) & \xrightarrow{\;\cong\;} & \mathrm{Hom}_{V\text{-}}(Y, \mathrm{Hom}_{V\text{-}}(V \diamond X, Z)) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathscr{O}_l} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathscr{O}_l} \\
\mathrm{Hom}_{A^{\mathsf{e}}\text{-}}(Y \otimes_A X, Z) & \dashrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}_{A^{\mathsf{e}}\text{-}}(Y, \mathrm{Hom}_{V\text{-}}(V \diamond X, Z)) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \cong} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Hom}_{A^{\mathsf{e}}\text{-}}(Y, T_Z)} \\
\mathrm{Hom}_{A^{\mathsf{e}}\text{-}}(Y, \mathrm{Hom}_{A^{op}\text{-}}(X, Z)) & \xrightarrow{\;\cong\;} & \mathrm{Hom}_{A^{\mathsf{e}}\text{-}}(Y, \mathrm{Hom}_{V\text{-}}(V \otimes_{A^{op}} X, Z)),
\end{array}$$

where the natural transformation $T_Z : \mathrm{Hom}_{V\text{-}}(V \diamond X, Z) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{V\text{-}}(V \otimes_{A^{op}} X, Z)$ is defined by sending $f \mapsto [v \otimes_{A^{op}} x \mapsto f(v_1 \otimes_A v_2 x)]$. The dashed arrow is then a natural isomorphism if and only if T_- is a natural isomorphism, if and only if each map $\beta_X : V \otimes_{A^{op}} X \to V \diamond X$ sending $v \otimes_{A^{op}} x \mapsto v_1 \otimes_A v_2 x$ is an isomorphism of left V-modules, where in the tensor product $V \otimes_{A^{op}} X$ we have used the bimodule ${}_V V_{1 \otimes A^{op}}$. Now, one can easily see that the maps β_X are isomorphisms if and only if β_V is an isomorphism.

Following [26, Theorem 3.5], a left A-bialgebroid V is said to be a *left Hopf algebroid* provided that the functor $\mathscr{O}_l$ preserves right inner hom-functors. As we have seen this is equivalent to say that β_V is an isomorphism. Its inverse induces then a well defined map $\beta_V^{-1}(- \otimes_A 1) : V \to V \otimes_{A^{op}} V$ sending $v \mapsto v_+ \otimes_{A^{op}} v_-$ (the tensor product is defined using the bimodules ${}_V V_{1 \otimes A^{op}}$ and ${}_{1 \otimes A^{op}} V_V$). This map is known as the anti-multiplication and its definition for bialgebras over fields extensions goes back to W. D. Nichols [21, Definition 4.]. Here the inverse map $\beta_V^{-1}(- \otimes_A 1)$ will be referred to as *the translation map*.

Analogously, an A^{e}-ring U with source and target $\mathsf{s} : A \to U$ and $\mathsf{t} : A^{op} \to U$, is said to be a *right* A*-bialgebroid* provided that its category of right modules is a monoidal category and the restriction of scalars

functor $\mathscr{O}_r : \mathsf{Mod}_U \to \mathsf{Mod}_{A^{\mathsf{e}}}$ ($\mathscr{O}_r = (\mathsf{s} \otimes \mathsf{t})_*$) is a strict monoidal functor. In this case the category Mod_U is left closed with left inner hom-functors

$$hom_{\mathsf{Mod}_U}(X, Y) := \mathrm{Hom}_{\text{-}U}(X \diamond U, Y). \tag{4}$$

For each object $X \in \mathsf{Mod}_U$, we have in this case that the functor $X\diamond -$ is left adjoint to $\mathrm{Hom}_{\text{-}U}(X \diamond U, -)$, and the adjunction is given by the natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\text{-}U}(X \diamond Y, Z) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\text{-}U}(Y \otimes_U (X \diamond U), Z) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\text{-}U}(Y, \mathrm{Hom}_{\text{-}U}(X \diamond U, Z)). \tag{5}$$

The corresponding β-maps in the category Mod_U are defined by $\beta_X : X \otimes_{A^{op}} U \to X \diamond U$ sending $x \otimes_{A^{op}} u \mapsto xu_1 \otimes_A u_2$, where in the first tensor product we have used in its second factor the bimodule ${}_{1\otimes A^{op}}U_U$. One can check as before that $\mathscr{O}_r$ preserves left inner hom-functors if and only if β_U is an isomorphism. So the right A-bialgebroid U is said to be a *right Hopf A-algebroid* provided that β_U is an isomorphism. In this case, *the translation map* $\beta_U^{-1}(1 \otimes_A -) : U \to U \otimes_{A^{op}} U$, where the first factor of the tensor product is the A-bimodule $U_{A^{\mathsf{e}}}$, will be denoted by $u \mapsto u_- \otimes_{A^{op}} u_+$. As in [26, Proposition 3.7], the map $\beta_U^{-1}(1 \otimes_A -)$ enjoy a list of properties. Here we mention few of them which we will need in the sequel: First notice that $\beta_U^{-1}(u' \otimes_A u) = u'u_- \otimes_{A^{op}} u_+$, so we have

$$u_{1,-} \otimes_{A^{op}} u_{1,+} \otimes_A u_2 = u_- \otimes_{A^{op}} u_{+,1} \otimes_A u_{+,2} \in (U_{1\otimes A^{op}} \otimes_{A^{op}} {}_{1\otimes A^{op}}U) \diamond U \tag{6}$$

$$\mathsf{s}(b) \otimes_{A^{op}} \mathsf{s}(a) = \eta(a \otimes b^o)_- \otimes_{A^{op}} \eta(a \otimes b^o)_+ \in U_{1\otimes A^{op}} \otimes_{A^{op}} {}_{1\otimes A^{op}}U. \tag{7}$$

In case $A = K$ which, by our convention, automatically implies that $\mathsf{s} = \mathsf{t}$ and that V (or U) is an ordinary bialgebra, it is well know that V is Hopf algebra if and only if β_V is bijective.

Remark 1.2.1. For a general left A-bialgebroid V, there is no hope of obtaining from the translation map $\beta_V^{-1}(- \otimes_A 1)$ an endomorphism of V which can play the role of the antipode as in the case of Hopf algebras. Nevertheless, if we assume that A and V are commutative rings with $\mathsf{s} \neq \mathsf{t}$, which means that V is a commutative A-bialgebroid, then the map $\mathscr{S} = (\varepsilon \otimes_A V) \circ \beta_V^{-1}(- \otimes_A 1)$ is well defined and gives the antipode for V. Conversely, the inverse of β_V is given by $\beta_V^{-1}(v \otimes_A v') = v_1 \otimes_A \mathscr{S}(v_2)v'$, whenever V having $\mathscr{S}$ as an antipode. Of course in this case the notions of bialgebroid and Hopf algebroid are obviously independent from the sides.

Let (A, U) be a right Hopf algebroid. Then the adjunction (5) and the analogue natural isomorphism of T_-, gives a right U-action on the right A^{e}-module $\mathrm{Hom}_{\text{-}A^{op}}(X, Y)$, for every pair of right U-modules X and Y. This action is given by

$$f.u : X \longrightarrow Y, (\, x \longmapsto f(xu_-)\, u_+ \,), \text{ where as above } \beta_U^{-1}(1 \otimes_A u) = u_- \otimes_{A^{op}} u_+. \tag{8}$$

Now, fix a right U-module X and consider its left dual ${}^*X$ also as right U-module with the action (8) by taking $Y_U = A_U$ the unit object of Mod_U with right U-action $a \,.\, u = \varepsilon(\mathsf{s}(a)u) = \varepsilon(\mathsf{t}(a)u)$.

$$\begin{array}{lll} X \diamond {}^*X \xrightarrow{\quad ev \quad} A, & & A \xrightarrow{\quad db' \quad} \mathrm{Hom}_{\text{-}A^{op}}(X, X) \\ x \otimes_A \varphi \longmapsto \varphi(x) & & 1 \longmapsto 1_X, \end{array} \tag{9}$$

The following lemma is the version on the right of [3, Proposition 4.41].

Lemma 1.2.2. *Let (A, U) be a right Hopf algebroid. Then every right U-module X which is finitely generated and projective as left A-module, admits ${}^*X$ as the right dual in the monoidal category Mod_U.*

Proof. Using the maps of Eq.(9), the right dual of X is given by the triple $({}^*X, ev, j_X^{-1} \circ db')$, where

$$j_X : {}^*X \diamond X \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\text{-}A^{op}}(X, X), (\varphi \otimes_A x \longmapsto [x' \mapsto \varphi(x')x]),$$

is the canonical isomorphism. To show that j_X is in fact a right U-linear map, one use the properties of the comultiplication of the underlying A-coring of U as well as Eq.(6). □

1.3. **Examples of (right) Hopf algebroids.** Any Hopf algebra over a commutative ring is obviously a (left and right) Hopf algebroid. Next, we list some non trivial examples of Hopf algebroids, specially the ones with commutative base ring, which we will deal with in the forthcoming sections.

Example 1.3.1. [22] Assume that the ground ring K is a field and let A be a commutative K-algebra which is a right H-comodule K-algebra with coation $\varrho_A : A \to A \otimes_K H$, where H is a commutative Hopf algebra. Consider $A \otimes_K H$ as an $(A \otimes_K A)$-ring via the following source and target:

$$\mathsf{s} : A \to A \otimes_K H, (a \mapsto a \otimes_K 1), \quad \mathsf{t} = \varrho_A : A \to A \otimes_K H, (a \mapsto a_0 \otimes a_1).$$

Then $A \otimes_K H$ is a commutative Hopf algebroid, with antipode $\mathscr{S} : A \otimes_K H \to A \otimes_K H$ sending $a \otimes h \mapsto a_0 \otimes a_1 S(h)$, where S is the antipode of H.

Example 1.3.2. Let A be a commutative algebra over a field K of characteristic 0, and denote by $\mathrm{Der}_K(A)$ the Lie algebra of all K-linear derivations of A. Consider a K-Lie algebra L which is also an A-module (the K-module structure is the obvious one: $(k.X = (k.1_A)X$, for any $k \in K$ and $X \in L$), and let $\omega : L \to \mathrm{Der}_K(A)$ be a morphism of K-Lie algebras. The pair (A, L) is called *Lie-Rinehart algebra* with *anchor* map ω, provided

$$\begin{aligned} (aX)(b) &= a(X(b)), \\ [X, aY] &= a[X, Y] + X(a)Y, \end{aligned}$$

for all $X, Y \in L$ and $a, b \in A$, where $X(a)$ stands for $\omega(X)(a)$. Consider the (left) A-module direct sum $A \oplus L$ as a K-Lie algebra with the bracket:

$$\Big[(a, X), (b, Y)\Big] = \Big(X(b) - Y(a), [X, Y]\Big),$$

for any $a, b \in A$ and $X, Y \in L$. Denote by $\tau : A \oplus L \to U(A \oplus L)$ the canonical inclusion to its universal enveloping K-algebra (recall that K is assumed to be a field with zero characteristic).

As was expounded in [23, 16, 20], associated to any Lie-Rinehart algebra (A, L) there is a universal object denoted by $(A, \mathcal{U}(L))$. As an algebra, $\mathcal{U}(L) = U(A \oplus L)/I$, where I is the two-sided ideal generated by the set $\Big\{\tau(a', 0).\tau(a, X) - \tau(a'a, a'X) \,|\, a, a' \in A,\ X \in L\Big\}$. There are canonical maps

$$\iota_A : A \to \mathcal{U}(L), \ \Big(a \mapsto a + I\Big) \quad \text{and} \quad \iota_L : L \to \mathcal{U}(L), \ \Big(X \mapsto X + I\Big).$$

The first one is an algebra map (whose image is not necessarily in the center), while the second is a K-Lie algebra map. Both maps are compatible in the sense that the following equations are fulfilled:

$$\iota_A(a)\iota_L(X) = \iota_L(aX), \qquad [\iota_L(X), \iota_A(a)] = \iota_A(X(a)),$$

for any $a \in A$ and $X \in L$. Such equations determines in fact the universality of $\mathcal{U}(L)$.

As was observed in [18, §4.2.1], the usual Hopf algebra structure of $U(A \oplus L)$ can be lifted to a structure of cocommutative (right) Hopf A-algebroid on $\mathcal{U}(L)$. The source and target are equal: $\mathsf{t} = \mathsf{s} = \iota_A$. The A-coring structure is an A-coalgebra structure whose underlying A-bimodule uses the right A-module structure derived from ι_A, that is, the A-bimodule $\mathcal{U}(L)_A$ with two-sided action $a.u.a' = u\,\iota_A(aa')$, for every $a, a' \in A$ and $u \in \mathcal{U}(L)$ (recall that A is commutative). The comultiplication and the counit of $\mathcal{U}(L)_A$ are given over generators by

$$\Delta(\iota_L(X)) = \iota_L(X) \otimes_A 1_{\mathcal{U}(L)} + 1_{\mathcal{U}(L)} \otimes_A \iota_L(X), \ \varepsilon(\iota_L(X)) = 0, \quad \Delta(\mathsf{s}(a)) = \mathsf{s}(a) \otimes_A 1_{\mathcal{U}(L)} = 1_{\mathcal{U}(L)} \otimes_A \mathsf{s}(a), \ \varepsilon(\mathsf{s}(a)) = a.$$

for any $a \in A$ and $X \in L$. The translation map $\beta_{\mathcal{U}(L)} : \mathcal{U}(L) \to \mathcal{U}(L)_A \otimes_{A\ A} \mathcal{U}(L)$ is given on generators by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{s}(a)_- \otimes_A \mathsf{s}(a)_+ &:= 1_{\mathcal{U}(L)} \otimes_A \mathsf{s}(a), \\ \iota_L(X)_- \otimes_A \iota_L(X)_+ &:= 1_{\mathcal{U}(L)} \otimes_A \iota_L(X) - \iota_L(X) \otimes_A 1_{\mathcal{U}(L)}. \end{aligned}$$

Example 1.3.3. Here are some basic examples of Lie-Rinehart algebras.

(1) The pair $(A, \mathrm{Der}_K(A))$ obviously admits a structure of a Lie-Rinehart algebra.
(2) [19, Definition 3.3.1] A *Lie algebroid* is a vector bundle $\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{M}$ over a smooth manifold, together with a map $\omega : \mathcal{E} \to T\mathcal{M}$ of vector bundles and a Lie structure $[-, -]$ on the vector space $\Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ of global smooth sections of $\mathcal{E}$, such that the induced map $\Gamma(\omega) : \Gamma(\mathcal{E}) \to \Gamma(T\mathcal{M})$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism, and for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E})$ and any $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ one has $[X, fY] = f[X, Y] + \Gamma(\omega)(X)(f)Y$. Then the pair $(\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathcal{M}), \Gamma(\mathcal{E}))$ is obviously a Lie-Rinehart algebra.

Example 1.3.4. Under the assumptions of Example 1.3.2, it is clear that the anchor map $\omega : L \to \mathrm{Der}_K(A)$ can be extended to an algebra map $\Omega : U(L) \to \mathrm{End}_K(A)$ which gives a left $U(L)$-action on the base algebra A. If we further assume that A with this action, is a locally finite left module (i.e. each element generates a left $U(L)$-module which is a finite dimensional as K-vector space), then A becomes a right comodule algebra over the finite dual commutative Hopf algebra $U(L)'$, see Example 3.4.1. In this way, as in Example 1.3.1 we obtain another (commutative) Hopf algebroid, namely, $A \otimes_K U(L)'$.

Example 1.3.5 (Base extension)**.** Let $(A, \mathcal{H})$ be a commutative Hopf algebroid and $\phi : A \to B$ be a morphism of commutative algebras. Then $(B, B \otimes_A \mathcal{H} \otimes_A B)$ admits a structure of commutative Hopf algebroid called *the base ring extension* of $(A, \mathcal{H})$ by ϕ. The structure maps of this Hopf algebroid are given as follows:

$$\mathsf{s}(b) = b \otimes_A 1 \otimes_A 1, \quad \mathsf{t}(b') = 1 \otimes_A 1 \otimes_A b', \quad \mathscr{S}(b \otimes_A h \otimes_A b') = b' \otimes_A \mathscr{S}(h) \otimes_A b,$$
$$\Delta(b \otimes_A h \otimes_A b') = \sum_{(h)} (b \otimes_A h_{(1)} \otimes_A 1) \otimes_B (1 \otimes_A h_{(2)} \otimes_A b'), \qquad \varepsilon(b \otimes_A h \otimes_A b') = bb'\phi(\varepsilon(h)).$$

2. The reconstruction process and Galois corings.

For the reader convenience, we recall in this section the construction of the universal coring from a given fiber functor [4]. We follow the presentation given in [11]. We also recall the notion of Galois coring. Roughly speaking, these are corings which can be reconstructed from their class of right comodules which are finitely generated and projective as right modules over the base ring.

2.1. **Infinite comatrix corings.** Let $\omega : \mathcal{A} \to add(A_A)$ be an additive faithful functor (referred to as a *fiber functor*), where $\mathcal{A}$ is an additive small category and A is an algebra. The image of an object P of $\mathcal{A}$ under ω will be denoted by ${}^{\omega}P$, or even by P when no confusion may be expected. Given $P, Q \in \mathcal{A}$, we denote by $T_{PQ} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(P, Q)$ the K-module of all morphisms in $\mathcal{A}$ from P to Q. The symbol T_P is reserved to the ring of endomorphisms of P. Clearly, $S_P = \mathrm{End}({}^{\omega}P_A)$ is a ring extension of T_P via ω. In this way, every image ${}^{\omega}P$ of an object $P \in \mathcal{A}$, becomes canonically a (T_P, A)-bimodule, and this bi-action can be extended to the following (T_Q, A)-bilinear map

$$\begin{aligned} T_{PQ} \otimes_{T_P} P &\xrightarrow{\quad\omega_{PQ}\quad} Q \\ t \otimes_{T_P} p &\longmapsto tp = \omega(t)(p), \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

since T_{PQ} is already a (T_Q, T_P)-bimodule. The dual bi-actions are given by the following (A, T_P)-bilinear map

$$\begin{aligned} Q^* \otimes_{T_Q} T_{PQ} &\xrightarrow{\quad\omega_{Q^*P^*}\quad} q^* \\ q^* \otimes_{T_Q} t &\longmapsto q^*t = q^* \circ \omega(t). \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

For every object $P \in \mathcal{A}$, one can define its associated finite comatrix A-coring $P^* \otimes_{T_P} P$ with the following comultiplication and counit

$$\begin{aligned} P^* \otimes_{T_P} P &\xrightarrow{\quad\Delta_{P^* \otimes_{T_P} P}\quad} P^* \otimes_{T_P} P \otimes_A P^* \otimes_{T_P} P, \qquad & P^* \otimes_{T_P} P &\xrightarrow{\quad\varepsilon_{P^* \otimes_{T_P} P}\quad} A \\ p^* \otimes_A p &\longmapsto \textstyle\sum_{\alpha_P} p^* \otimes_{T_P} e_{\alpha_P} \otimes_A e^*_{\alpha_P} \otimes_{T_P} p & p^* \otimes_{T_P} p &\longmapsto p^*(p) \end{aligned}$$

where $\{(e_{\alpha_P}, e^*_{\alpha_P})\} \subset P \times P^*$ is any right dual basis for P_A. The map $\Delta_{P^* \otimes_{T_P} P}$ does not depend on the choice of the dual basis [10, Remark 2.2]. Now consider the following direct sum of A-corings

$$\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{A}) = \bigoplus_{P \in \mathcal{A}} P^* \otimes_{T_P} P$$

and its K-submodule $\mathfrak{I}_{\mathcal{A}}$ generated by the set

$$\left\{ q^* \otimes_{T_Q} tp - q^*t \otimes_{T_P} p : q^* \in Q^*,\ p \in P,\ t \in T_{PQ},\ P, Q \in \mathcal{A} \right\}, \tag{12}$$

where the products are defined by the pairings of Eqs. (10) and (11). By [11, Lemma 4.2], $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a coideal of the A-coring $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{A})$. Therefore, we can consider the quotient A-coring

$$\mathscr{R}(\mathcal{A}) := \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{A})/\mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{A}} = \left(\bigoplus_{P\in\mathcal{A}} P^*\otimes_{T_P} P\right)/\mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{A}} \tag{13}$$

and this is the *infinite comatrix A-coring* associated to the fiber functor $\omega : \mathcal{A} \to add(A_A)$. Furthermore, it is clear that any object $P \in \mathcal{A}$ admits (via the functor ω) the structure of a right $\mathscr{R}(\mathcal{A})$-comodule, which leads to a well defined functor $\chi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathsf{Comod}_{\mathscr{R}(\mathcal{A})}$.

The above construction is in fact functorial, in the sense that if $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ is a K-linear functor and $\omega : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow add(A_A) \longleftarrow \mathcal{A}' : \omega'$ are fiber functors such that $\omega' \circ \mathcal{F} = \omega$. Then there is a morphism of A-corings $\mathscr{R}(\mathcal{F}) : \mathscr{R}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathscr{R}(\mathcal{A}')$.

There are two typical situations where the above process can be applied. Namely, starting with a ring extension $\eta : A \to R$ and consider the category $\mathcal{A}_R$ of all right R-modules which by restriction of scalars are finitely generated and projective as right A-modules, the fiber functor is then the restriction of the forgetful functor $\eta_* : \mathsf{Mod}_R \to \mathsf{Mod}_A$, that is, $\eta_* : \mathcal{A}_R \to add(A_A)$. The associated A-coring $\mathscr{R}(\mathcal{A}_R)$ is simply denoted by $\mathscr{R}(R)$ or by R°, and referred to as *the (right) finite dual of* the A-ring R. This in fact establishes a contravariant functor from the category of A-rings to the category of A-corings. The basic properties of the finite dual of a ring extension are presented in Section 3.

The other situation which is somehow dual to the previous one, is that of an A-coring $\mathfrak{C}$, where the small category $\mathcal{A}$ is taken to be the category $\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ of all right $\mathfrak{C}$-comodules whose underlying right A-modules are finitely generated and projective. The fiber functor here is given by the restriction of the forgetful functor $\mathscr{O} : \mathsf{Comod}_{\mathfrak{C}} \to \mathsf{Mod}_A$, that is, $\mathscr{O} : \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{C}} \to add(A_A)$. This situation was studied in [4, 11].

2.2. **Reconstruction and Galois corings.** It is well known that any coalgebra over a field can be reconstructed from its category of finite-dimensional right comodules. That is, it is isomorphic to an infinite comatrix coalgebra. Over general base ring, one only obtains an A-coring morphism which is not always an isomorphism. Precisely, let $\mathscr{R}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{C}})$ be the A-coring associated to the fiber functor $\mathscr{O} : \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{C}} \to add(A_A)$ for some A-coring $\mathfrak{C}$. Then there is a homomorphism of A-corings known as the *canonical map*:

$$\mathsf{can}_{\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{C}}} : \mathscr{R}(\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{C}}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}, \quad \Big(p^*\otimes_{T_P} p + \mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{A}} \longmapsto (p^*\otimes_A \mathfrak{C})\circ\varrho_P(p)\Big). \tag{14}$$

Obviously any K-linear functor $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ induces an analogue morphisms of corings $\mathsf{can}_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Following the terminology used in [11, 14]:

Definition 2.2.1. An A-coring $\mathfrak{C}$ is said to be an $\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{C}}$-*Galois coring* (or $\Sigma = \oplus_{p\in\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{C}}} P$ is a right *Galois comodule*) provided $\mathsf{can}_{\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{C}}}$ is an isomorphism of A-corings.

This is the case when $\mathsf{Comod}_{\mathfrak{C}}$ is an abelian category having $\mathcal{A}^{\mathfrak{C}}$ as a set of generators [11, Theorem 4.8], which is exactly the situation in the aforementioned coalgebra case (see [8, Proposition 4.13] for the case of corings over fields).

3. The finite dual of a ring extension.

In this section we specialize the construction of Section 2 to the case of a ring homomorphism $A \to R$, which leads to the construction of the *(right) finite dual coring* R°, and of a functor $\chi : \mathcal{A}_R \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{R^\circ}$. We will show that, in the case of coalgebras over a Dedekind domain, our R° is isomorphic to the usual finite dual defined as the subspace of R^* of all linear forms whose kernel contains a cofinite ideal of R. When R_A is finitely generated and projective, we show that R° is isomorphic to R^*. We also study when R° is Galois and, what is more important, when χ is an isomorphism of categories. All these results are related to the injectivity of a map $R^\circ \to R^*$ to be defined below.

3.1. **The finite dual coring R°.** Given an A–ring R, consider the category $\mathcal{A}_R$ of all right R–modules that are finitely generated and projective as right A–modules. We define the *right finite dual* of the extension $A \to R$ as the A-coring $R^\circ = \mathscr{R}(\mathcal{A}_R)$ described in Section 2.

We know from equation (13) that R° is the factor A-coring $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{A}_R)/\mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{A}_R)$, where

$$\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{A}_R) = \bigoplus_{P\in\mathcal{A}_R} P^*\otimes_{T_P} P$$

and $\mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{A}_R)$ is the K-submodule spanned by the set of elements as in Eq.(12). The elements

$$\overline{p^* \otimes_{T_P} p} = p^* \otimes_{T_P} p + \mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{A}_R}, \quad p^* \in P^*, p \in P, P \in \mathcal{A}_R$$

form a set of generators of R° as a K-module (and, of course, as an A-bimodule). Recall that the comultiplication of R° is given by

$$\Delta^\circ : R^\circ \longrightarrow R^\circ \otimes_A R^\circ, \quad \overline{p^* \otimes_{T_P} p} \longmapsto \overline{p^* \otimes_{T_P} e_{\alpha,P}} \otimes_A \overline{e^*_{\alpha,P} \otimes_{T_P} p}, \tag{15}$$

where $\{e_{\alpha,P}, e^*_{\alpha,P}\}$ denotes a finite dual basis for P_A. The counit of R° is

$$\varepsilon^\circ : R^\circ \longrightarrow A, \quad \overline{p^* \otimes_{T_P} p} \longmapsto p^*(p). \tag{16}$$

3.2. **The functors χ and L.** Consider the homomorphism of A-bimodules $\mathbf{b} : R^\circ \otimes_R R \to A$ defined as the composite

$$\mathbf{b} : R^\circ \otimes_R R \cong R^\circ \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^\circ} A$$

and let $\eta_R : R \to {}^*(R^\circ)$ be its image under the adjunction isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{A\text{-}}(R^\circ \otimes_R R, A) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{R\text{-}}(R, {}^*(R^\circ)).$$

Explicitly,

$$\eta_R(r)(\overline{p^* \otimes_{T_P} p}) = p^*(pr). \tag{17}$$

Recall that, since R° is an A-coring, we know that ${}^*(R^\circ)$ is a ring with the convolution product. A straightforward computation shows that η_R is an anti-homomorphism of rings.

From the well-known (see, e.g [5, 19.1]) functor $\mathfrak{l} : \mathsf{Comod}_{R^\circ} \to {}_{*(R^\circ)}\mathsf{Mod}$ we get, after composing with the restriction of scalars functor associated to η_R, a functor

$$L : \mathcal{A}^{R^\circ} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_R.$$

This functor is explicitly given on objects as follows: given a right R°-comodule $\varrho_M : M \to M \otimes_A R^\circ$, and using a Sweedler-type notation (summation understood), we define the following right R-action on M

$$m \cdot r = m_0 m_1^*(m_1 r), \quad r \in R, \ \varrho_M(m) = m_0 \otimes_A \overline{m_1^* \otimes_{T_P} m_1}. \tag{18}$$

Conversely, every object $P \in \mathcal{A}_R$ is a right R°-comodule with the coaction

$$\chi_P : P \longrightarrow P \otimes_A R^\circ, \quad p \longmapsto e_{\alpha,P} \otimes_A \overline{e^*_{\alpha,P} \otimes_{T_P} p}. \tag{19}$$

This gives the object map of a functor $\chi : \mathcal{A}_R \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{R^\circ}$. A straightforward computation shows that $L \circ \chi = id_{\mathcal{A}_R}$.

3.3. **The injectivity of the map $\zeta : R^\circ \to R^*$.** Consider the image $\zeta : R^\circ \to R^*$ of the A-bilinear map $\mathbf{b} : R^\circ \otimes_R R \to A$ under the adjunction isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\text{-}A}(R^\circ \otimes_R R, A) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\text{-}R}(R^\circ, R^*)$$

This homomorphism of (A, R)-bimodules is explicitly given by

$$\zeta : R^\circ \longrightarrow R^*, \quad \overline{p^* \otimes_{T_P} p} \longmapsto (r \mapsto p^*(pr)). \tag{20}$$

Clearly, we have $\zeta(\overline{p^* \otimes_{T_P} p})(r) = \eta_R(r)(\overline{p^* \otimes_{T_P} p})$, where η_R is the map defined in Eq.(17).

For each module M_A, define $\beta_M : M \otimes_A R^\circ \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\text{-}A}(R, M)$ by

$$\beta_M(m \otimes_A \overline{p^* \otimes_{T_P} p})(r) = m p^*(pr).$$

Lemma 3.3.1. *β_M is injective for every M_A finitely generated and projective if and only if $\zeta : R^\circ \to R^*$ is injective.*

Proof. Observe that, up to the canonical isomorphism $A \otimes_A R^\circ \cong R^\circ$, we have that $\beta_A = \zeta$, which gives the direct implication. The converse is clearly deduced from the fact that the class of modules M_A for which β_M is injective is closed under finite direct sums and direct summands. □

Proposition 3.3.2. *If $\zeta : R^\circ \to R^*$ is injective, then the funtor $\chi : \mathcal{A}_R \to \mathcal{A}^{R^\circ}$ is an isomorphism of categories.*

Proof. Since we already know that $L \circ \chi = id_{\mathcal{A}_R}$, we only need to prove that the composition $\chi \circ L$ is also the identity functor. Let M be an object of $\mathcal{A}^{R^\circ}$ with coaction $\varrho_M : M \to M \otimes_A R^\circ$. Then L sends M to a right R-module with the action (18). With this structure, $M \in \mathcal{A}_R$, and, therefore, by applying χ, we obtain a comodule $\chi_M : M \to M \otimes_A R^\circ$. We need to check that $\varrho_M = \chi_M$ and, since β_M is injective by Lemma 3.3.1, it is enough if we prove that $\beta_M \circ \varrho_M = \beta_M \circ \chi_M$. This follows from the following computation:

$$(\beta_M \circ \varrho_M)(m)(r) = m_0 m_1^*(m_1 r) = mr$$

$$(\beta_M \circ \chi_M)(m)(r) = e_{\alpha,M} e^*_{\alpha,M}(mr) = mr.$$

□

Proposition 3.3.3. *If $\zeta : R^\circ \to R^*$ is injective, then R° is a Galois A-coring.*

Proof. Recall that the canonical map $\mathsf{can}_{R^\circ} : \mathscr{R}(R^\circ) \to R^\circ$ is defined by

$$\mathsf{can}_{R^\circ}(\overline{m^* \otimes_{T_M} m}) = m^*(m_0)\overline{m_1^* \otimes_{T_{M_1}} m_1}.$$

Therefore

$$(\mathsf{can}_{R^\circ} \circ \mathscr{R}(\chi))(\overline{p^* \otimes_{T_P} p}) = \mathsf{can}_{R^\circ}(\overline{p^* \otimes_{T_{\chi(P)}} p}) = p^*(e_{\alpha,P})\overline{e^*_{\alpha,P} \otimes_{T_P} p} = \overline{p^* \otimes_{T_P} p}$$

In this way, $\mathsf{can}_{R^\circ} \circ \mathscr{R}(\chi) = id_{R^\circ}$. By Proposition 3.3.2, χ is an isomorphism of categories and, henceforth, $\mathscr{R}(\chi)$ is bijective. Therefore, can_{R° is bijective and R° is Galois. □

Proposition 3.3.4. *Assume that for every homomorphism of right R-modules $f : P \to R^*$, where $P \in \mathcal{A}_R$, there exists $Q \subseteq \mathcal{A}_R$ and an exact sequence of right R-modules $\bigoplus_{Q \in Q} Q \xrightarrow{g} P \xrightarrow{f} R^*$. Then $\zeta : R^\circ \to R^*$ is injective.*

Proof. For every $P \in \mathcal{A}_R$ we consider the adjunction isomorphism of (A, T_P)-bimodules

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\text{-}R}(P, R^*) \cong P^*, \quad f \mapsto (p \mapsto f(p)(1))$$

with inverse

$$P^* \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\text{-}R}(P, R^*), \quad \varphi \mapsto (p \mapsto (r \mapsto \varphi(pr)))$$

Writing $P^\star = \mathrm{Hom}_{\text{-}R}(P, R^*)$, we obtain an isomorphism of A-bimodules

$$R^\circ \cong \frac{\bigoplus_{P \in \mathcal{A}_R} P^\star \otimes_{T_P} P}{K\left\{f \otimes_{T_Q} tp - ft \otimes_{T_P} p : f \in Q^\star,\ p \in P,\ t \in T_{PQ},\ P, Q \in \mathcal{A}_R\right\}}$$

Up to this isomorphism, $\zeta : R^\circ \to R^*$ is given by $\zeta(\overline{f \otimes_{T_P} p}) = f(p)$, for $f \in P^\star$ and $p \in P$. Assume $\sum_i \overline{f_i \otimes_{T_{P_i}} p_i} \in \ker \zeta$, that is, $\sum_i f_i(p_i) = 0$. Write $P = \oplus_i P_i$ and define $f : P \to R^*$ and $p \in P$ uniquely by the conditions $f\iota_i = f_i$ and $\pi_i(p) = p_i$ for every i, where $\iota_i : P_i \to P$ is the i-th canonical injection. We use the notation $\pi_i : P \to P_i$ for the canonical projections. Since $p \in \ker f$, there exist a homomorphim of right R-modules $g : Q \to P$ and $q \in Q$ such that $p = g(q)$. The following computation

$$\sum_i \overline{f_i \otimes_{T_{P_i}} p_i} = \sum_i \overline{f_i \otimes_{P_i} \pi_i(g(q))} = \sum_i \overline{f_i \pi_i g \otimes_{T_Q} q} = \overline{fg \otimes_{T_Q} q} = 0.$$

finishes the proof. □

When R_A is finitely generated and projective, it is well-known that R^* is an A–coring (see e.g. [3, Proposition 2.11]).

Corollary 3.3.5. *If R_A is finitely generated and projective, then $\zeta : R^\circ \to R^*$ is an isomorphism of A–corings.*

Proof. Our map $\zeta : R^\circ \to R^*$ is injective by Proposition 3.3.4, since R is a generator of $\mathcal{A}_R$. In this case, ζ is obviously surjective. □

Recall (see, e.g. [27, page 22]) that a ring is said to be right hereditary if every right ideal is projective as a right module.

Corollary 3.3.6. *If A is a right hereditary right noetherian ring, then $\zeta : R^\circ \to R^*$ is injective.*

Proof. Recall that, over a right hereditary ring, submodules of projective right modules are projective modules (see, e.g., [27, Proposition I.9.5]). Thus, given a homomorphism of right R-modules $f : P \to R^*$, where $P \in \mathcal{A}_R$, then $Q := \ker f$ is projective as a right A–module, and, of course, it is finitely generated over A, since we are assuming that A is right noetherian. Now, apply Proposition 3.3.4. □

Example 3.3.7. Of course, every commutative Dedekind domain A fulfills the hypotheses of Corollary 3.3.6.

Example 3.3.8. Obviously, if A is semi-simple Artinian, then A fulfills the hypotheses of Corollary 3.3.6.

Problem 1. Corollaries 3.3.5 and 3.3.6 require "extreme" conditions to guarantee, by virtue of Proposition 3.3.4, that ζ is injective. More precisely, Corollary 3.3.5 imposes a strong condition on the ring extension $A \to R$, while Corollary 3.3.6 restricts the kind of ground ring A we are allowed to work with. It would be interesting, in view of the consequences of the injectivity of ζ (see Theorem 4.2.2 and Corollary 4.2.4 below), to investigate more general hypotheses (presumably, module-theoretical conditions) that would imply it.

3.4. **Dual coalgebras.** Let R be an algebra over a commutative noetherian hereditary ring A. As a generalization to the case of algebras over fields, it is possible to define a structure of A–coalgebra over the A–submodule R' of R^* consisting of those $\varphi \in R^*$ such that $\ker\varphi$ contains an A–cofinite ideal of R (see [1, Theorem 2.8, Proposition 2.11]). Here, an ideal I of R is *A–cofinite* if R/I is a finitely generated A–module.

The map $\zeta : R^\circ \to R^*$ factorizes through R'. Indeed, for any generator $\overline{p^* \otimes_{T_P} p} \in R^\circ$, we need to prove that the cyclic right R–module φR, where $\varphi = \zeta(\overline{p^* \otimes_{T_P} p})$, is finitely generated as an A–module (see [1, 2.3]). Let $r, s \in R$. Since ζ is right R–linear, A is central in R, and by using a suitable dual basis, we get

$$(\varphi r)(s) = p^*(prs) = \sum_{\alpha_P} p^*(e_{\alpha_P} e^*_{\alpha_P}(pr)s) = \sum_{\alpha_P} p^*(e_{\alpha_P} s e^*_{\alpha_P}(pr)) = \sum_{\alpha_P} p^*(e_{\alpha_P} s) e^*_{\alpha_P}(pr).$$

This implies that φr belongs to the A–submodule of R^* generated by the finite set of all $p^*(e_{\alpha_P} -)$'s and, hence, φR is finitely generated as an A–module.

It follows from Example 3.3.7 that $\zeta : R^\circ \to R^*$ is injective. Let $\tau : R^\circ \otimes R^\circ \to R^\circ \otimes R^\circ$ denote the flip map. A straightforward computation shows that the following diagram is commutative.



In resume, we have that, if R is an algebra over a commutative noetherian hereditary ring A, then $\zeta : R^\circ \to R'$ is an injective anti-homomorphism of A–coalgebras.

Proposition 3.4.1. *Let R be an algebra over a commutative Dedekind domain A. Then $\zeta : R^\circ \to R'$ is an anti-isomorphism of A–coalgebras.*

Proof. We need just to show that $\zeta : R^\circ \to R'$ is surjective. Let $\varphi \in R'$. We know that the cyclic right R–module φR is a finitely generated A–module. On the other hand, $\varphi R \subseteq R^*$ and, therefore, φR is a torsion-free A–module. Since A is a Dedekind domain, we get that φR is a fgp A–module. Now, $I := \{r \in R : \varphi r = 0\}$ is a right ideal of R and, since $\varphi R \cong R/I$, we get that $P := R/I$ is fgp as an A–module. On the other hand, $I \subseteq \ker\varphi$, which implies that there is $\overline{\varphi} \in P^*$ such that $\overline{\varphi}(r + I) = \varphi(r)$ for all $r \in R$. Hence, $\varphi = \zeta(\overline{\overline{\varphi} \otimes_{T_P} (1 + I)})$. □

Remark 3.4.2. The proof of Proposition 3.4.1 works to prove that if R is an algebra over a semisimple commutative ring A, then $\zeta : R^\circ \to R'$ is an anti-isomorphism of coalgebras.

4. The finite dual of a right bialgebroid, and of a cocommutative Hopf algebroid.

We show that the right finite dual U° of a right bialgebroid (A, U) is a left bialgebroid. When A is commutative, this fact can be deduced from [4, Exemple, pp. 5849], although that construction cannot be directly extended to the setting of a non-commutive basis A because $add(A_A)$ is not a monoidal category. We also prove that the map $\zeta : U^\circ \to U^*$ is a homomorphism of A^e–rings, when U^* is endowed with the convolution product. We also show in Theorem 4.2.2 that the finite dual of a cocommutative Hopf algebroid with commutative base ring is a commutative Hopf algebroid. This could also have been deduced from [4, Example, pp. 5849], we include an elementary proof. Our approach could be useful to treat specific examples. This will be illustrated in the last section. Theorem 4.2.2 also contains our main contribution, namely, a sufficient condition to get a monoidal equivalence between the category of the A–profinite modules over a cocommutative Hopf algebroid over A and the A–profinite representations of its associated affine groupoid via the finite dual construction. This equivalence works in a non necessarily tannakian context.

4.1. **Duality for bialgebroids.** Let U be a right bialgebroid over a (possibly non commutative) K–algebra A, and consider U as an A–ring via its source map $\mathsf{s} : A \to U$. Consider the fiber functor $\mathsf{s}_* : \mathcal{A}_U \to add(A_A)$ as in Section 2, and the corresponding right finite dual A–coring U° as in Subsection 3.1. Our aim is to endow U° with the structure of a left bialgebroid over A in such a way that the map $\zeta : U^\circ \to U^*$ defined in Eq.(20) becomes a morphism of A^{e}-rings. Here, the right convolution ring U^* is an A^e–ring via the homomorphism of rings

$$\xi : A^{\mathsf{e}} \longrightarrow U^*, \quad \Big(a \otimes b^o \longmapsto [u \mapsto a\varepsilon(\mathsf{t}(b)u)]\Big). \tag{21}$$

Lemma 4.1.1. *Let (A, U) be a right bialgebroid. Then U° admits a structure of A^{e}-ring such that $\zeta : U^\circ \to U^*$ is a homomorphism of A^{e}-rings.*

Proof. Let us first define an unitary and associative multiplication on U°. We know that U° is the factor A-coring $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{A}_U)/\mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{A}_U)$, where

$$\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{A}_U) = \bigoplus_{P \in \mathcal{A}_U} P^* \otimes_{T_P} P \tag{22}$$

and $\mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{A}_R)$ is the K-submodule spanned by the set of elements as in Eq.(12). Given $P, Q \in \mathcal{A}_U$, and $\varphi \in P^*, \psi \in Q^*$, we define $(\varphi \star \psi) \in (P \otimes_A Q)^*$, by

$$(\varphi \star \psi) : P \otimes_A Q \longrightarrow A, \quad \Big(x \otimes_A y \longmapsto \psi(\varphi(x)y)\Big). \tag{23}$$

We use (23) to define componentwise an associative multiplication on $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{A}_U)$ by

$$P^* \otimes_{T_P} P \otimes_A Q^* \otimes_{T_Q} Q \longrightarrow (Q \otimes_A P)^* \otimes_{T_{Q\otimes_A P}} Q \otimes_A P \tag{24}$$

$$p^* \otimes_{T_P} p \otimes_A q^* \otimes_{T_Q} q \longmapsto q^* \star p^* \otimes_{T_{Q\otimes_A P}} q \otimes_A p$$

To see that the map (24) is well defined we used that $\mathcal{A}_U$ is monoidal and that $s_* : \mathcal{A}_U \to {}_A\mathsf{Mod}_A$ is a strict monoidal functor. Since $\mathfrak{J}(\mathcal{A}_U)$ is easily checked to be an ideal of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{A}_U)$, we get that the following multiplication is well defined :

$$(\overline{p^* \otimes_{T_P} p}) \,.\, (\overline{q^* \otimes_{T_Q} q}) \;=\; \overline{(q^* \star p^*) \otimes_{T_{Q\otimes_A P}} (q \otimes_A p)}, \tag{25}$$

for every $\overline{p^* \otimes_{T_P} p}$ and $\overline{q^* \otimes_{T_Q} q}$ in U°. A straightforward computation checks that this multiplication on U° is associative. Let us show that there is a unit in U° for this multiplication. To this end, observe that $A \in \mathcal{A}_U$, where the right U–module structure is given by the action

$$a.u \;=\; \varepsilon(\mathsf{t}(a)u) \;=\; \varepsilon(\mathsf{s}(a)u). \tag{26}$$

Therefore, $\overline{id_A \otimes_{T_A} 1} \in U^\circ$, and this element is clearly the unit for the multiplication (25). In fact, if we denote by $\mathsf{l}_a : A \to A$ the left multiplication map sending $r \mapsto ar$ for $a \in A$, then the map

$$\eta^\circ : A^{\mathsf{e}} \longrightarrow U^\circ, \quad (a \otimes b^o) \longmapsto (\overline{\mathsf{l}_a \otimes_{T_A} b}), \tag{27}$$

is a homomorphism of rings. In this way, U° becomes an A^e–ring.

Recall that the map ζ sends $\overline{p^* \otimes_{T_P} p} \mapsto [u \mapsto p^*(pu)]$. In order to see that it is a homomorphism of A^e–rings, let us check first that it is unital. So,

$$\zeta \circ \eta(a \otimes b^o)(u) \;=\; \zeta(\overline{\mathsf{l}_a \otimes_{T_A} b})(u) \;=\; \mathsf{l}_a(bu) \;=\; a\varepsilon(\mathsf{t}(b)u) \;=\; \xi(a \otimes b^o)(u),\ \forall u \in U.$$

Hence $\zeta \circ \eta \;=\; \xi$, where ξ is the map defined in Eq.(21). Now, using the above multiplication Eq.(25), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta\left((\overline{p^* \otimes_{T_P} p}) \,.\, (\overline{q^* \otimes_{T_Q} q})\right)(u) &= \zeta\Big(\overline{(q^* \star p^*) \otimes_{T_{Q\otimes_A P}} (q \otimes_A p)}\Big)(u) \\
&= (q^* \star p^*)((q \otimes_A p)\, u) \\
&= (q^* \star p^*)(qu_1 \otimes_A pu_2) \;=\; p^*(q^*(qu_1)(pu_2)) \\
&= p^*((pu_2)(\mathsf{t}(q^*(qu_1)))).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand using the convolution multiplication defined by the coring U_{A^e}, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\zeta(\overline{p^* \otimes_{T_P} p}) * \zeta(\overline{q^* \otimes_{T_Q} q})\,(u) &= \zeta(\overline{p^* \otimes_{T_P} p})\Big(\zeta(\overline{q^* \otimes_{T_P} q})(u_1)u_2\Big) \\
&= \zeta(\overline{p^* \otimes_{T_P} p})(q^*(qu_1)u_2) \\
&= p^*(p(q^*(qu_1)u_2)) \;=\; p^*(p(u_2\mathsf{t}(q^*(qu_1)))) \\
&= p^*((pu_2)\mathsf{t}(q^*(qu_1))).
\end{aligned}$$

Henceforth, ζ is multiplicative, and this finishes the proof. □

Proposition 4.1.2. *If (A, U) be a right bialgebroid, then (A, U°) is a left bialgebroid.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.1.1, U° is an A^e–ring via the homomorphism $\eta^\circ : A^\mathsf{e} \to U^\circ$. So we need to endow the category of left U°-modules with a monoidal structure such that the restriction of scalars functor ${}_*\eta^\circ : {}_{U^\circ}\mathsf{Mod} \to {}_{A^\mathsf{e}}\mathsf{Mod}$ becomes strict monoidal.

Take two left U°-modules X, Y. The tensor product $X \otimes_A Y$ is then a left module over U° with the action given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda : U^\circ \otimes X \otimes_A Y &\longrightarrow X \otimes_A Y \\
(\overline{p^* \otimes_{T_P} p}) \otimes (x \otimes_A y) &\longmapsto (\overline{p^* \otimes_{T_P} e_{\alpha,P}})x \otimes_A (\overline{e^*_{\alpha,P} \otimes_{T_P} p})y.
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

The restriction of this action to scalars from A^e via η° gives clearly the canonical A–bimodule structure of $X \otimes_A Y$, that is, the action

$$(a' \otimes_K a^o) \,.\, x \otimes_A y \;=\; (a'x) \otimes_A (ya), \qquad \text{for every } a, a' \in A \text{ and } x \in X, y \in Y.$$

This in particular shows that the action is unital. The associativity property of the action given by Eq. (28) is derived as follows. Take two elements of the form $\overline{p^* \otimes_{T_P} p}$ and $\overline{q^* \otimes_{T_Q} q}$ in U°, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda\Big((\overline{p^* \otimes_{T_P} p})\,(\overline{q^* \otimes_{T_Q} q}) \otimes (x \otimes_A y)\Big) &= \lambda\Big(\overline{(q^* \star p^*) \otimes_{T_{Q\otimes_A P}} (q \otimes_A p)} \otimes (x \otimes_A y)\Big) \\
&= \overline{(q^* \star p^*) \otimes_{T_{Q\otimes_A P}} (e_{\beta,Q} \otimes_A e_{\alpha,P})}x \otimes_A \overline{(e^*_{\beta,Q} \star e^*_{\alpha,P}) \otimes_{T_{Q\otimes_A P}} (q \otimes_A p)}y \\
&= \Big(\overline{(p^* \otimes_{T_P} e_{\alpha,P})}\,\overline{(q^* \otimes_{T_Q} e_{\beta,Q})}x\Big) \otimes_A \Big(\overline{(e^*_{\alpha,P} \otimes_{T_P} p)}\,\overline{(e^*_{\beta,Q} \otimes_{T_Q} q)}y\Big) \\
&= \lambda\Big(\overline{(p^* \otimes_{T_P} p)} \otimes \lambda\Big(\overline{(p^* \otimes_{T_P} p)} \otimes_{A^\mathsf{e}} (x \otimes_A y)\Big)\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Given Z another left U°–module, the natural isomorphism $(X \otimes_A Y) \otimes_A Z \cong X \otimes_A (Y \otimes_A Z)$ is clearly an isomorphism of left U°-modules. On the other hand, for any two arrows f, g in ${}_{U^\circ}\mathsf{Mod}$, it is easily seen, using the above action, that $f \otimes_A g$ is a morphism in ${}_{U^\circ}\mathsf{Mod}$.

Lastly, consider the monoidal unit ${}_{A^\mathsf{e}}A$ as a left U°-module via the action

$$\overline{p^* \otimes_{T_P} p}\; a \;=\; p^*(ap) \;=\; p^*a(p) \;=\; \varepsilon^\circ\Big(\overline{p^* \otimes_{T_P} p}\,\mathsf{s}^\circ(a)\Big) \;=\; \varepsilon^\circ\Big(\overline{p^* \otimes_{T_P} p}\,\mathsf{t}^\circ(a)\Big),$$

where ε° is the counit of U°. For a left U°-module X, let $\iota : A \otimes_A X \cong X$ sending $a \otimes_A x \mapsto \mathsf{s}(a)x$ be the canonical isomorphism. Using the action of Eq.(28), we have

$$\iota\Big(\overline{p^* \otimes_{T_P} p}\,(a \otimes_A x)\Big) \;=\; \iota\Big(p^*(ae_{\alpha,P}) \otimes_A \overline{(e^*_{\alpha,P} \otimes_{T_P} p)}x\Big)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \overline{p^*(ae_{\alpha,P})e_{\alpha,P}\otimes_{T_P} p}\, x \\ &= \overline{p^*a\otimes_{T_P} p}\, x \\ &= \overline{p^*\otimes_{T_P} p\,\mathsf{s}^\circ(a)}x \\ &= \overline{p^*\otimes_{T_P} p}\ \ \iota(a\otimes_A x), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that ι is left U°-linear. Similarly, one can show that the isomorphism $X\otimes_A A\cong X$ is also left U°–linear. Thus, ${}_{U^\circ}A$ is the monoidal unit of the tensor product in ${}_{U^\circ}\mathsf{Mod}$.

In conclusion, we obtain that the category of left U°-modules is a monoidal category with unit the left module ${}_{U^\circ}A$ and the restriction of scalars functor ${}_*\eta^\circ : {}_{U^\circ}\mathsf{Mod}\to {}_{A^e}\mathsf{Mod}$ is a strict monoidal. Therefore, (A, U°) is left bialgebroid. □

Remark 4.1.3. There is a kind of symmetry in Proposition 4.1.2. This means that given a left bialgebroid (A, V), using it target map $\mathsf{t} : A\to V$ and it associated category ${}^V\mathcal{A}$ of left V-modules which are finitely generated and projective as A-modules via the fiber functor ${}_*\mathsf{t} : {}_V\mathsf{Mod}\to add({}_AA)$. The reconstruction process of Section 2 leads to a right bialgebroid $(A, \mathscr{R}({}^V\mathcal{A})) := (A, {}^\circ V)$.

4.2. **Duality for Hopf algebroids.** In this subsection we assume that (A, U) is a left Hopf algebroid with A is a commutative K-algebra and the underlying A-coring of U is co-commutative. Bialgebras over field extensions studied in [21, 6] and those over a commutative algebra studied in [24], as well as the universal algebras of Lie algebroids or, in general, of Lie-Rinehart algebras (Examples 1.3.3 and 1.3.2), are all examples of this class of left bialgebroids.

It is easily checked that if (A, U) is a left bialgebroid with A a commutative K-algebra and where the underlying A-coring is co-commutative, then the source of U is equal to its target (i.e. $\mathsf{s} = \mathsf{t}$). This fact will be implicitly used in the sequel. Recall that for such a bialgebroid (A, U), the category $\mathcal{A}_U$ consists of right U-modules whose underlying A-modules are finitely generated and projective, using either the functor s_* or t_*.

Proposition 4.2.1. *Let (A, U) be a co-commutative right Hopf algebroid over a commutative algebra A. Consider the category $\mathcal{A}_U$ with the fiber functor $\mathsf{s}_* : \mathcal{A}_U\to add(A)$. Then $\mathcal{A}_U$ is a monoidal symmetric and rigid category with s_* a strict monoidal fiber functor.*

Proof. First observe that, since $\mathsf{t} = \mathsf{s}$, each object in $\mathcal{A}_U$ is a central A-bimodule. On the other hand, for every pair of objects $P, Q\in\mathcal{A}_U$ the flip map $\tau_{P,Q} : P\otimes_A Q\to Q\otimes_A P$, sending $p\otimes_A q\mapsto q\otimes_A p$ is actually an arrow in $\mathcal{A}_U$, since U is co-commutative. The rest of the proof follows immediately from Lemma 1.2.2. □

Part (1) of the following result could be deduced from [4, Exemple, pp. 5849] and also from Proposition 4.2.1 combined with [15, Theorem 2.2.4]. However, a direct application of the former or the latter will not lead to an explicit description of the structure maps of the constructed Hopf algebroid. For our needs and for the convenience of the reader, we give here an elementary proof of statement (1).

Theorem 4.2.2. *Let (A, U) be a co-commutative right Hopf algebroid over a commutative algebra A. Then*

(1) (A, U°) is a commutative Hopf algebroid.
(2) The functor $\chi : \mathcal{A}_U\to\mathcal{A}^{U^\circ}$ is strict monoidal and preserves the symmetry.
(3) If $\zeta : U^\circ\to U^*$ is injective, then χ is an isomorphism of symmetric monoidal categories.

Proof. (1) Let $\tau_{P,Q}$ be the flip map as in the proof of Proposition 4.2.1. Then one can easily check that

$$(q^*\star p^*)\circ\tau_{P,Q} = p^*\star q^*,\ \text{ for every } P, Q\in\mathcal{A}_U \text{ and } p^*\in P^*, q^*\in Q^*. \tag{29}$$

So using this equality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\overline{p^*\otimes_{T_P} p})\,(\overline{q^*\otimes_{T_Q} q}) &= \overline{(q^*\star p^*)\otimes_{T_{Q\otimes_A P}}(q\otimes_A p)} \\ &= \overline{(q^*\star p^*)\otimes_{T_{Q\otimes_A P}}\tau_{P,Q}(p\otimes_A q)},\ \ \tau_{P,Q}\text{ is an arrow in }\mathcal{A}_U \\ &= \overline{(q^*\star p^*)\tau_{P,Q}\otimes_{T_{P\otimes_A Q}}(p\otimes_A q)} \\ &= \overline{(p^*\star q^*)\otimes_{T_{P\otimes_A Q}}(p\otimes_A q)} \\ &= (\overline{q^*\otimes_{T_Q} q})\,(\overline{p^*\otimes_{T_P} p}), \end{aligned}$$

which means that U° is a commutative ring. The compatibility of the comultiplication Δ° of Eq.(16) and the counit ε° of Eq.(15) with the multiplication of Eq.(25), are easily checked.

We need to construct an antipode for U°. So let us first fix some notation, for every arrow $f : P \to Q$ in $\mathcal{A}_U$, its dual map $f^* : Q^* \to P^*$ is clearly an arrow in the same category $\mathcal{A}_U$, where P^*, Q^* are objects of $\mathcal{A}_U$ by the action of Eq.(8). Thus, P^* is a dual object in $\mathcal{A}_U$ for an object $P \in \mathcal{A}_U$, and the duality is given by the following arrows in $\mathcal{A}_U$

$$ev : P^* \diamond P = P^* \otimes_A P \to A, (p^* \otimes_A p \mapsto p^*(p)), \quad db : A \to P \diamond P^* = P \otimes_A P^*, (1 \mapsto e_{\alpha,P} \otimes_A e^*_{\alpha,P}), \tag{30}$$

(respectively called *evaluation* and *dual-basis*) where $\{e_{\alpha,P}, e^*_{\alpha,P}\}$ is a dual basis for P.

On the other hand, the canonical isomorphism $\iota_{P,Q} : P^* \otimes_A Q^* \cong (Q \otimes_A P)^*$ belongs to the category $\mathcal{A}_U$, since the duals in this category are unique up to isomorphisms. By definitions it is clear that we have

$$\iota_{P,Q} \circ (p^* \otimes_A q^*) \;=\; q^* \star p^*, \;\text{ for every } p^* \in P^*, q^* \in Q^*. \tag{31}$$

Now let $P \in \mathcal{A}_U$ and consider the isomorphism $\gamma : P \to (P^*)^*$ which sends $p \mapsto [p^* \mapsto p^*(p)]$. By using the right U-linearly of the evaluation map ev Eq.(30), one can easily check that γ is a right U-linear isomorphism. Since $P^* = N \in \mathcal{A}_U$, then for each $p \in P$, the element $\gamma(p) \in N^*$. Clearly if $\{e_i, e_i^*\}$ is dual basis for P, then $\{e_i^*, \gamma(e_i)\}$ is a dual basis for P^*. Moreover, for every $q^* \in Q^*$ and $f : P \to Q$ in $\mathcal{A}_U$, we have $\gamma(p)f^* = \gamma(f(p))$, where f^* is viewed as an element in T_{Q^*,P^*} (see the notation of Section 2). Using these equalities, we can show that following map

$$\mathscr{S} : U^\circ \longrightarrow U^\circ, \quad (\overline{p^* \otimes_{T_P} p} \longmapsto \overline{\gamma(p) \otimes_{T_{P^*}} p^*}), \tag{32}$$

is a well defined map, and this will be our candidate for the antipode. Let us check that $\mathscr{S}$ transforms the source to the target and vice-versa, and also it is an algebra map (recall that U° is commutative). So,

$$\mathscr{S}(\eta^\circ(a \otimes_A b)) \;=\; \mathscr{S}(\mathsf{l}_a \otimes_A b) \;=\; \overline{\gamma(b) \otimes_{T_{A^*}} \mathsf{l}_a} \;=\; \overline{\mathsf{l}_b \otimes_{T_A} a} \;=\; \eta^\circ(b \otimes a),$$

that is $\mathscr{S} \circ \mathsf{s}^\circ = \mathsf{t}^\circ$ and $\mathscr{S} \circ \mathsf{t}^\circ = \mathsf{s}^\circ$;

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{S}((\overline{p^* \otimes_{T_P} p})(\overline{q^* \otimes_{T_Q} q})) &= \mathscr{S}(\overline{(q^* \star p^*) \otimes_{T_{Q \otimes_A P}} (q \otimes_A p)}) \\
&= \overline{\gamma(q \otimes_A p) \otimes_{T_{(Q \otimes_A P)^*}} (q^* \star p^*)} \\
&\overset{(31)}{=} \overline{\gamma(q \otimes_A p) \otimes_{T_{(Q \otimes_A P)^*}} \iota_{P,Q}(p^* \otimes_A q^*)} \\
&= \overline{\gamma(q \otimes_A p)\iota_{P,Q} \otimes_{T_{P^* \otimes_A Q^*}} (p^* \otimes_A q^*)} \\
&= \overline{(\gamma(p) \star \gamma(q)) \otimes_{T_{P^* \otimes_A Q^*}} (p^* \otimes_A q^*)} \\
&= (\overline{\gamma(q) \otimes_{T_{Q^*}} q^*})(\overline{\gamma(p) \otimes_{T_{P^*}} p^*}) \\
&= \mathscr{S}(\overline{q^* \otimes_{T_Q} q})\mathscr{S}(\overline{p^* \otimes_{T_P} p}),
\end{aligned}$$

where in the fifth equation we have used the equality $\gamma(q \otimes_A p)\iota_{P,Q} = \gamma(p) \star \gamma(q)$, which shows the desired claim.

We still need to check that, for every element $h \in U^\circ$, we have

$$h_1 \mathscr{S}(h_2) \;=\; \eta^\circ(\varepsilon^\circ(h) \otimes 1), \quad \mathscr{S}(h_1)h_2 \;=\; \eta^\circ(1 \otimes \varepsilon^\circ(h)).$$

Take $h \in U^\circ$ of the form $h = \overline{p^* \otimes_{T_P} p}$, then we have (summation understood)

$$\begin{aligned}
h_1 \mathscr{S}(h_2) &= (\overline{p^* \otimes_{T_P} e_{\alpha,P}})\mathscr{S}(\overline{e^*_{\alpha,P} \otimes_{T_P} p}) \\
&= (\overline{p^* \otimes_{T_P} e_{\alpha,P}})(\overline{\gamma(p) \otimes_{T_{P^*}} e^*_{\alpha,P}}) \\
&= \overline{(\gamma(p) \star p^*) \otimes_{T_{P^* \otimes_A P}} (e^*_{\alpha,P} \otimes_A e_{\alpha,P})} \\
&= \overline{(\gamma(p) \star p^*) \otimes_{T_{P^* \otimes_A P}} \tau_{P,P^*}(e_{\alpha,P} \otimes_A e^*_{\alpha,P})}, \quad \tau_{-,-} \text{ is the flip}, \\
&= \overline{(\gamma(p) \star p^*)\tau_{P,P^*} \otimes_{T_{P \otimes_A P^*}} (e_{\alpha,P} \otimes_A e^*_{\alpha,P})} \\
&\overset{(29)}{=} \overline{(p^* \star \gamma(p)) \otimes_{T_{P \otimes_A P^*}} (e_{\alpha,P} \otimes_A e^*_{\alpha,P})} \\
&= \overline{(p^* \star \gamma(p)) \otimes_{T_{P \otimes_A P^*}} db.1} \;=\; \overline{(p^* \star \gamma(p))db \otimes_{T_A} 1} \\
&= \overline{\mathsf{l}_{p^*(p)} \otimes_{T_A} 1} \;=\; \eta^\circ(\varepsilon^\circ(h) \otimes 1),
\end{aligned}$$

which shows the first desired equality. To obtain the second one, we use the equality $\gamma(e_{\alpha,P}) \star e^*_{\alpha,P} = 1^*.ev$, where as before 1^* is the image of $1 \in A$ in the dual A^*. Lastly, since the isomorphisms γ are arrows in $\mathcal{A}_U$, it is clear that $\mathscr{S}^2 = id$.

(2) Let P, Q be two objects in $\mathcal{A}_U$. Then the right U°-comodule structure of $\chi(P \otimes_A Q)$, is given as in (19) by the coaction:

$$\varrho_{\chi(P\otimes_A Q)} : P \otimes_A Q \longrightarrow P \otimes_A Q \otimes_A U^\circ, \quad \Big(p \otimes_A q \longmapsto \sum (e_{\alpha,P} \otimes_A e_{\beta,Q}) \otimes_A \overline{(e^*_{\alpha,P} \star e^*_{\beta,Q}) \otimes_{T_{P\otimes_A Q}} (p \otimes_A q)} \Big)$$

where $\{e_{\alpha,P}, e^*_{\alpha,P}\}$ and $\{e_{\beta,Q}, e^*_{\beta,Q}\}$ denote as above the dual basis of P_A and Q_A. On the other hand, the tensor product $\chi(P) \otimes_A \chi(Q)$, in the monoidal subcategory of right U°-comodules $\mathcal{A}^{U^\circ}$, has the following comodule structure:

$$\varrho_{\chi(P)\otimes_A \chi(Q)} : P \otimes_A Q \longrightarrow P \otimes_A Q \otimes_A U^\circ, \quad \Big(p \otimes_A q \longmapsto \sum p_{(1)} \otimes_A q_{(1)} \otimes_A p_{(2)} q_{(2)} \Big)$$

Now, using equation (19) and the commutativity of the multiplication of U°, as given in (25), we get that $\varrho_{\chi(P)\otimes_A \chi(Q)} = \varrho_{\chi(P\otimes_A Q)}$. Therefore, $\chi(P) \otimes_A \chi(Q) = \chi(P \otimes_A Q)$, for any two objects P, Q in $\mathcal{A}_U$.

The identity object of $\mathcal{A}_U$ is the right U-module A with action $a.u = \varepsilon(au)$, for any $a \in A$ and $u \in U$. The image by χ of this object has the right coaction $\varrho_{\chi(A)} : A \to A \otimes_A U^\circ$ sending $a \mapsto 1 \otimes_A \overline{1 \otimes_{T_A} a}$. Thus, by equation (27), we have $\varrho_A(a) = \mathsf{t}^\circ(\mathsf{a})$, for every $a \in A$. Hence, $\chi(A) = (A, \mathsf{t}^\circ)$ the identity object of the monoidal category $\mathcal{A}^{U^\circ}$. We have then shown that χ is a strict monoidal functor. Lastly, since both monoidal categories $\mathcal{A}_U$ and $\mathcal{A}^{U^\circ}$ have the flip as symmetry, one trivially obtains that χ is a symmetric monoidal functor.

(3) Follows from Proposition 3.3.2. □

Corollary 4.2.3. *Let (A, U) be a cocommutative right Hopf algebroid over a Dedekind domain A. Then the category $\mathcal{A}_U$ is isomorphic, as a symmetric monoidal category, to $\mathcal{A}^{U^\circ}$.*

Proof. This is deduced from Corollary 3.3.6 and Theorem 4.2.2. □

We also get the following consequence of Theorem 4.2.2, whose geometrical interpretation is that, under suitable conditions, given a Lie-Rinehart algebra there is a groupoid with the "same" representation theory (see Example 1.3.2 for details on the Hopf algebroid attached to a Lie-Rinehart algebra).

Corollary 4.2.4. *Let L be a Lie-Rinehart algebra over a commutative ring A, and let $\mathcal{U}(L)$ denote its universal enveloping Hopf algebroid. If ζ is an injective map (e. g. if A is a Dedekind domain), then the category of A–projective right $\mathcal{U}(L)$–modules is isomorphic, as a symmetric monoidal category, to the category of right comodules over the commutative Hopf algebroid $\mathcal{U}(L)^\circ$.*

Remark 4.2.5. Starting with a commutative Hopf algebroid (A, V), then, by Proposition 4.1.2, we know that (A, V°) is a right bialgebroid. The fact that (A, U°) is actually a right Hopf algebroid can be shown using the tecniques developed in [15]. In analogy with the classical situation of Hopf algebra over fields, it stills then to check that U° is a co-commutative A-coring. It seems that in general, there is no direct way of proving this property. However, it can be derived under some assumptions, which are always fulfilled in the finite case (i.e., when V_A or ${}_A V$ are finitely generated and projective). Precisely, if we assume that the map $\zeta : V^\circ \to V^*$ of subsection 3.3 and the canonical map

$$\Psi_V : V^* \times_A V^* \longrightarrow (V \otimes_{A\otimes A} V)^*, \quad \Big((\overline{p^* \otimes_{T_P} p}) \times_A (\overline{q^* \otimes_{T_Q} q}) \longmapsto \Big[u \otimes_{A\otimes A} v \mapsto p^*(pu)\, q^*(qv) \Big] \Big) \tag{33}$$

(here $\times_A$ is the Sweedler-Takeuchi's product [28, 30]), are injective as well that V_A is flat. Then, one can deduce, from the following commutative diagram, the co-commutativity of the comultiplication Δ° of the A-coring V°



Following the observations of Example 3.3.5, the duality stated in [24, Propositions 3, 4] (see also [17, 7]), is now a particular instance of the one established hereby.

Remark 4.2.6. In general, starting with a K-linear monoidal (essentially small) category $\mathcal{A}$ with a monoidal faithful K-linear functor $\omega : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow add(A_A)$, one can endow, using a multiplication similar to that given by the formula (25), the associated infinite comatrix A-coring $\mathscr{R}(\mathcal{A})$ of equation (13) with a structure of $(A \otimes_K A)$-ring. It turns out that $(A, \mathscr{R}(\mathcal{A}))$ with this algebra structure is a (left) bialgebroid. If we further assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is a symmetric rigid monoidal category and that A is a commutative K-algebra, then $(A, \mathscr{R}(\mathcal{A}))$ has a structure of commutative Hopf algebroid, see for instance [4, Section 7].

As in subsection 2.1, the previous construction is funtorial. That is, given two symmetric rigid monoidal K-linear categories with monoidal faithful K-linear functors: $\omega : \mathcal{A} \to add(A)$ and $\omega' : \mathcal{A}' \to add(A)$, and $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$ a monoidal K-linear functor such that



is a commutative diagram. Then there is a morphism $\phi =: \mathscr{R}(\mathcal{F}) : (A, \mathscr{R}(\mathcal{A})) \to (A, \mathscr{R}(\mathcal{A}'))$ of Hopf algebroids and we have a commutative diagram of functors:



where $\mathscr{O}$ is the forgetful functor and ϕ_* is the restriction of the induced functor $\phi_* : \mathsf{Comod}_{\mathscr{R}(\mathcal{A})} \to \mathsf{Comod}_{\mathscr{R}(\mathcal{A}')}$ sending any right $\mathscr{R}(\mathcal{A})$-comodule $(M\varrho_M)$ to the right $\mathscr{R}(\mathcal{A}')$-comodule

$$M \xrightarrow{\varrho_M} M \otimes_A \mathscr{R}(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{M\otimes_A \phi} M \otimes_A \mathscr{R}(\mathcal{A}'),$$

and acting obviously on morphisms.

5. Application: The finite dual of the first Weyl algebra and differential Galois groupoid.

In this section, we illustrate our methods by treating, in an exhaustive way, the universal Hopf algebroid of the transitive Lie algebroid of vector fields over the complex affine line $\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$. In other words the first Weyl $\mathbb{C}$-algebra. We first show that the category of differential modules is a Tannakian category (in the sense of [8]) which is identified with the category of comodules of the finite dual with underlying fgp modules. Second we show that for a fixed differential module (M, ∂), this finite dual contains a commutative Hopf algebroid denoted by $U^\circ_{(M)}$ whose category of comodules $\mathsf{comod}_{U^\circ_{(M)}}$ with finitely generated underlying modules, is equivalent, as a symmetric monoidal $\mathbb{C}$-linear category, to the full subcategory $\langle M \rangle_\otimes$ of differential modules which are sub-quotients objects of (M, ∂). In analogy with the classical differential Galois theory, the associate affine algebraic groupoid of $U^\circ_{(M)}$, is then termed the *differential Galois groupoid* attached to (M, ∂) (or to the linear differential matrix equation defined by (M, ∂)).

5.1. **The Hopf algebroid structure of the first Weyl algebra.** Let $A = \mathbb{C}[X]$ be polynomial ring in the variable X over the field of complex numbers, and consider its (noncommutative) ring of differential operators $U := \mathbb{C}[X][Y, \frac{\partial}{\partial X}]$, that is, the first Weyl algebra. We shall consider U as free right A-module with basis $\{Y^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, and with left action given by the rule $aY = Ya + \frac{\partial a}{\partial X}$, for every $a \in A$. This algebra is clearly isomorphic to the universal right Hopf algebroid of the transitive Lie algebroid $(A, \mathbf{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(A))$, see Example 1.3.2. The structure maps are

$$\Delta(Y) = 1 \otimes_A Y + Y \otimes_A 1, \quad \varepsilon(Y) = 0, \text{ and } Y_- \otimes_A Y_+ = 1 \otimes_A Y - Y \otimes_A 1.$$

We are interested in describing the relationship between the category of comodules over the finite dual U° and the category of differential modules over the differential ring A. To this end, we will apply Theorem 4.2.2 to the pair (A, U). But, first let us make the following general remark.

Remark 5.1.1. Theorem 4.2.2 applies for any ring of differential operators $A[Y,\delta]$, for δ any K–linear derivative of A. In particular, Corollary 4.2.3 leads to a monoidal equivalence of categories between the category $\mathbf{Diff}_A$ of A–profinite differential modules and the A–profinite representations of the affine groupoid represented by the finite dual of $A[Y,\delta]$, whenever A is a Dedekind domain (e.g. the coordinate ring of an irreducible smooth curve over $\mathbb{C}$). If A is not a field, $\mathbf{Diff}_A$ will probably fail to be abelian, as the example of $\mathbb{C}[X][Y,\delta]$ shows by taking $\delta(f(X)) = X\frac{\partial f(X)}{\partial X}$ for all $f(X) \in \mathbb{C}[X]$. What makes the Weyl algebra so special is that, in this case, $\mathbf{Diff}_A$ is abelian.

5.2. **Differential modules $\mathbf{Diff}_A$ as a Tannakian category.** Recall that a *differential right module* over the differential ring A is a finitely generated right A–module equipped with a $\mathbb{C}$-linear map $\partial : M \to M$ such that $\partial(xa) = \partial x.a + \partial a.x$, for every $a \in A$ and $x \in M$ (here ∂a stand for $\partial a(X)/\partial X$). The linear map ∂ is called *the differential of M*. Every differential module is in fact free of finite rank as an A–module: if $x \in M$ is a torsion element, then $ax = 0$ for some nonzero $a \in A$. It follows that $(\partial x)a + x\partial a = 0$, whence $(\partial x)a^2 = 0$. This shows that the ($\mathbb{C}$–finite dimensional) torsion submodule $t(M)$ of M is indeed a differential module. This is only possible when $t(M) = 0$ (see, e.g. [2, Lemma 4.2]).

Using the notation of Section 2, the category $\mathcal{A}_U$ is in this case the category of all differential modules over A, equivalently *linear differential matrix equations*. If we denote by $\{e_1, \ldots, e_m\}$ any basis of M over A, the differential ∂ is then given by a matrix $mat(M) = (a_{ij}) \in M_m(A)$ such that

$$\partial e_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m} e_j a_{ij}. \tag{34}$$

So if we identify an element $y \in M$ with its coordinate column in A^n, we have

$$\partial y = (\partial y_1, \cdots, \partial y_m) + (y_1, \cdots, y_m)\, mat(M).$$

Thus $\ker(\partial)$ is the solution space of the following linear differential matrix equation

$$(y'_1, \ldots, y'_n) + (y_1, \ldots, y_n) mat(M) = 0. \tag{35}$$

In analogy to [31, §2.2] we denote by $\mathbf{Diff}_A$ the category $\mathcal{A}_U$. A *morphism of differential modules* $f : (M,\partial) \to (N,\partial)$ is an A-linear map $f : M \to N$ which commutes with differentials, that is, $\partial \circ f = f \circ \partial$.

Next we list the properties of $\mathbf{Diff}_A$. First, we know from Section 4 that the category $\mathbf{Diff}_A$ inherits a monoidal symmetric structure from the category of right U-modules. In this case, the tensor product of two objects $(M,\partial), (N,\partial)$ in $\mathbf{Diff}_A$ is again a differential module with differential map

$$\partial : M \otimes_A N \longrightarrow M \otimes_A N, \quad \Big(\partial(x \otimes_A y) = \partial(x) \otimes_A y + x \otimes_A \partial(y)\Big) \tag{36}$$

By Lemma 1.2.2, $\mathbf{Diff}_A$ is then a monoidal symmetric and rigid $\mathbb{C}$-linear category with identity object (A,∂). The forgetful functor (which going to be a fibre functor in a Tannakian sense) is given as in subsection 2.1 by the restriction of scalars functor $\omega = \eta_* : \mathbf{Diff}_A := \mathcal{A}_U \to add(A)$, where $\eta : A \to U$ is the canonical ring extension. Observe that ω is a non trivial functor in the sense of [8] since we know that $\omega(A,\partial) = A$ and that $\mathrm{Spec}(A) \neq \emptyset$.

Moreover, $\mathbf{Diff}_A$ is a full subcategory of the category Mod_U stable under finite limits and colimits. Hence, it is abelian. We summarize the properties of $\mathbf{Diff}_A$ in the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2.1. *The category $\mathbf{Diff}_A$ is $\mathbb{C}$-linear abelian and the functor $\omega : \mathbf{Diff}_A \to add(A)$ is strict monoidal $\mathbb{C}$-linear faithful exact functor. Moreover, we have an isomorphism of rings* $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbf{Diff}_A}\Big((A,\partial)\Big) \cong \mathbb{C}$.

Remark 5.2.2. Observe furthermore, that Lemma 5.2.1 and [4, Proposition 2.5] imply that $\mathbf{Diff}_A$ is a locally finite category over $\mathbb{C}$, in the sense that each object is of finite length and the Hom-vector spaces are finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces. This in particular implies that the endomorphism ring of any differential module is a finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}$-algebra. Therefore, the centre of the endomorphism ring of any simple object in $\mathbf{Diff}_A$, coincides with the base field $\mathbb{C}$. Thus, the category $\mathbf{Diff}_A$ of differential modules is a *separable category* in the sense of [4, Définitions page 5847].

Remark 5.2.3. Let (M,∂) be a diffrencial module with $\mathrm{rank}(M) = m$. Denote by $M^\partial := \ker(\partial)$ the $\mathbb{C}$-vector space of solutions in A of the equation (35). Then, there is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}$-vector spaces

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{Diff}_A}((A,\partial),(M,\partial)) \cong M^\partial.$$

Therefore, by Remark 5.2.2, we know that $dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M^\partial) < \infty$. In fact we have that $dim_{\mathbb{C}}(M^\partial) \leq m$.

Apart from the above structure, the usual linear algebra operations are also permitted in the category of differential modules. For instance, the exterior powers $\bigwedge^d M$ of a differential module M, is again a differential module with differential given by

$$\partial\,(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_d) \;=\; \sum_{i=1}^{d} x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \partial x_i \wedge \cdots \wedge x_d,$$

such that the canonical linear map $M^{\otimes^d} := M \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A M \longrightarrow \bigwedge^d M$ is a differential map, i.e. a morphism in $\mathbf{Diff}_A$. Similarly one can endows the symmetric d^{th}-powers $\mathrm{Sym}^d(M)$ A-module with a differential, for a given differential module (M, ∂).

On the other hand the internal hom-functors of Eq.(4), are explicitly given in this case by the hom-functors $\mathrm{Hom}_A(M, N)$ whose differential is defined by the formulae

$$\partial l : M \longrightarrow N, \quad \Big(x \longmapsto (\partial l)(x) \;=\; \partial l(x) - l(\partial x)\Big). \tag{37}$$

Thus, up to isomorphisms, we have

$$hom_{\mathsf{Mod}_U}(M, N) = \mathrm{Hom}_A(M, N).$$

In particular, the vector space $\mathrm{Hom}_U(M, N)$ is identified with the linear maps whose differential is zero. The differential of the dual module is given then by

$$\partial : M^* \longrightarrow M^*, \quad \Big((\partial\varphi)(x) \;=\; \partial\varphi(x) - \varphi(\partial(x))\Big), \tag{38}$$

for every $\varphi \in M^*$ and $x \in M$.

5.3. **The commutative Hopf algebroid attached to the first Weyl algebra.** Next we state some properties of the commutative Hopf algebroid (A, U°) constructed from U by applying Theorem 4.2.2. First, we know from Example 3.3.7, that the canonical algebra map $\zeta : U^\circ \to U^*$ defined by equation (20) is injective, where U^* is the right linear dual of U endowed with the convolution product. This fact will be implicitly used in the sequel.

Proposition 5.3.1. *Let $A = \mathbb{C}[X]$ and $U = A[Y, \partial/\partial X]$ its differential operators algebra. Then the commutative Hopf algebroid (A, U°) is a Galois A-coring. In particular the category $\mathbf{Diff}_A$ is isomorphic to the full subcategory of right U°-comodules $\mathcal{A}^{U^\circ}$ and so $\mathrm{End}_{\mathsf{Comod}_{U^\circ}}\Big((A, \mathfrak{t})\Big) \cong \mathbb{C}$ an isomorphism of rings.*

Proof. The first statement is a direct consequence of Proposition 3.3.3. The particular cases are immediate from Proposition 3.3.2 and Lemma 5.2.1. □

The subsequent contains more other useful properties of the Hopf algebroid (A, U°).

Corollary 5.3.2. *Let $A = \mathbb{C}[X]$ and $U = A[Y, \partial/\partial X]$ its differential operators algebra. Then the commutative Hopf algebroid (A, U°) enjoys the following properties:*

(i) The algebra map $\eta^\circ : A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A \to U^\circ$ induces on U° a projective $(A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A)$-module structure.
(ii) There is an isomorphism of symmetric monoidal $\mathbb{C}$-linear categories $\mathbf{Diff}_A \cong \mathsf{comod}_{U^\circ}$, where comod_{U° denotes the full subcategory of right U°-comodules with finitely generated A-modules.
(iii) Every right U°-comodule is projective and faithfully flat as an A-module. In particular, the modules U°_A and ${}_AU^\circ$ are projective.
(iv) Any right U°-comodule is a filtrated limit of subcomodules in comod_{U°.

Proof. (*i*), (*ii*). We know from subsection 3.1, that (A, U°) was constructed from the pair $(\mathcal{A}_U, \mathsf{s}_*)$, or up to the isomorphism of Proposition 5.3.1, from the pair $(\mathbf{Diff}_A, \omega)$ of Lemma 5.2.1. By applying Deligne's Theorem [4, Théorèmes 5.2, 7.1], we have from one hand that the functor ω induces the equivalence sated in (*ii*) which proves this item. From another hand, we have that the Hopf algebroid (A, U°) is transitive and also separable by Remark 5.2.2. Therefore, it is geometrically transitive by [4, Corollaire 6.7], which by definition [4, Définition page 5845] means that U° is a projective $(A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A)$-module, and this shows part (*i*).

(*iii*). It follows directly from [4, Proposition 7.2], while item (*iv*) follows from [4, Proposition 6.2]. □

Remark 5.3.3. By [11, Theorem 5.7] and Corollary 5.3.2*(iii)*, the category of right comodules over the Hopf algebroid (A, U°) admits $\mathbf{Diff}_A$ as a generating set of small projectives if and only if the direct sum $\oplus_{M \in \mathbf{Diff}_A} M$ is a faithfully flat right module over the ring $\oplus_{M,N \in \mathbf{Diff}_A} \mathrm{Hom}(M, N)$ with enough orthogonal idempotent (i.e. Gabriel's ring of $\mathbf{Diff}_A$). Both equivalent conditions are fulfilled by Corollary 5.3.2*(iv)*.

5.4. **The differential Galois groupoid.** Fix a differential module $M \in \mathbf{Diff}_A$ with a dual basis $\{e_i, e_i^*\}_{1\leq i\leq m}$. We set

$$det(e_1,\dots,e_m) := \sum_{\sigma\in S_m} (-1)^{sg(\sigma)} e_{\sigma(m)} \otimes_A \dots \otimes_A e_{\sigma(1)} \in M^{\otimes^m}, \tag{39}$$

where S_m is the permutation group of m elements and $sg(\sigma)$ is the signature of σ and $M^{\otimes^m} := M\otimes_A\cdots\otimes_A M$ denotes the m-fold tensor product of the A-module M. We also denote

$$det_M := \overline{e_m^*\star\cdots\star e_1^*\otimes_{T_{M^{\otimes^m}}} det(e_1,\dots,e_m)} \in U^\circ, \tag{40}$$

where as in equation (23), the A-linear map $e_m^*\star\cdots\star e_1^*$ is defined by

$$e_m^*\star\cdots\star e_1^* : M^{\otimes^m}\longrightarrow A, \quad \Big(x_1\otimes_A\cdots\otimes_A x_m\longmapsto e_m^*(x_m)\cdots e_1^*(x_1)\Big).$$

Lemma 5.4.1. *Keeping the previous notations, we then have*

(i) As an element in $M^{\otimes^m}$, the differential of $det(e_1,\dots,e_m)$ is

$$\partial\, det(e_1,\dots,e_m) = tr(mat(M))\, det(e_1,\dots,e_m),$$

where $tr(mat(M))$ is the trace of $mat(M)$;

(ii) $(e_m^*\star\cdots\star e_1^*)\Big(det(e_1,\dots,e_m)\Big) = 1.$

Proof. Both part (i) and (ii) are routine computations by using the formulae (36) and definitions. The details are left to the reader. □

A crucial consequence of this lemma is the subsequent.

Lemma 5.4.2. *The element det_M of Eq.*(40) *is invertible in the algebra U°.*

Proof. Let $\bigwedge^m M^*$ be the m-exterior power of the dual module M^* of M. This is a free A-module of rank one and basis $e_1^*\wedge\cdots\wedge e_m^*$ with differential $\partial(e_1^*\wedge\cdots\wedge e_m^*) = -tr(mat(M))e_1^*\wedge\cdots\wedge e_m^*$, where as before $tr(mat(M))$ denotes the trace of the matrix $mat(M)$. Thus, the module $\bigwedge^m M^*$ is an object in the category $\mathbf{Diff}_A$. By Lemma 5.4.1(i), we define the following differential map

$$f : A\longrightarrow (\wedge^m M^*)\otimes_A M^{\otimes_m}, \quad \Big(1\longmapsto (e_1^*\wedge\cdots\wedge e_m^*)\otimes_A det(e_1,\dots,e_m)\Big),$$

which we consider as a morphism in the category $\mathbf{Diff}_A$.

Now let us check that

$$det_M^{-1} = \Big(\overline{e_m^*\star\cdots\star e_1^*\otimes_{T_{M^{\otimes m}}} det(e_1,\dots,e_m)}\Big)^{-1} = \overline{(e_1^*\wedge\cdots\wedge e_m^*)^*\otimes_{T_{\bigwedge^m M^*}}(e_1^*\wedge\cdots\wedge e_m^*)}. \tag{41}$$

So we compute their multiplication:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overline{e_m^*\star\cdots\star e_1^*\otimes_{T_{M^{\otimes m}}} det(e_1,\dots,e_m)}\,.\,\overline{(e_1^*\wedge\cdots\wedge e_m^*)^*\otimes_{T_{\bigwedge^m M^*}}(e_1^*\wedge\cdots\wedge e_m^*)}\\
=\;&\overline{\Big((e_1^*\wedge\cdots\wedge e_m^*)^*\star(e_m^*\star\cdots\star e_1^*)\Big)\otimes_{T_{(\wedge^m M^*)\otimes_A M^{\otimes m}}}\Big((e_1^*\wedge\cdots\wedge e_m^*)\otimes_A det(e_1,\dots,e_m)\Big)}\\
=\;&\overline{\Big((e_1^*\wedge\cdots\wedge e_m^*)^*\star(e_m^*\star\cdots\star e_1^*)\Big)\otimes_{T_{(\wedge^m M^*)\otimes_A M^{\otimes m}}}\Big(f(1)\Big)}\\
=\;&\overline{\Big((e_1^*\wedge\cdots\wedge e_m^*)^*\star(e_m^*\star\cdots\star e_1^*)\Big)f\otimes_{T_A} 1}.
\end{aligned}$$

The A-linear map $\Big((e_1^*\wedge\cdots\wedge e_m^*)^*\star(e_m^*\star\cdots\star e_1^*)\Big)f\in A^*$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big((e_1^*\wedge\cdots\wedge e_m^*)^*\star(e_m^*\star\cdots\star e_1^*)\Big)(f(1))\\
=\;&\Big((e_1^*\wedge\cdots\wedge e_m^*)^*\star(e_m^*\star\cdots\star e_1^*)\Big)\Big((e_1^*\wedge\cdots\wedge e_m^*)\otimes_A det(e_1,\dots,e_m)\Big)\\
=\;&(e_1^*\wedge\cdots\wedge e_m^*)^*\Big(e_1^*\wedge\cdots\wedge e_m^*\Big)\,(e_m^*\star\cdots\star e_1^*)\Big(det(e_1,\dots,e_m)\Big)\\
\overset{5.4.1(ii)}{=}\;&1,
\end{aligned}$$

which shows that

$$\overline{e_m^*\star\cdots\star e_1^*\otimes_{T_{M^{\otimes m}}} det(e_1,\dots,e_m)}\,.\,\overline{(e_1^*\wedge\cdots\wedge e_m^*)^*\otimes_{T_{\bigwedge^m M^*}}(e_1^*\wedge\cdots\wedge e_m^*)} = 1$$

and this finishes the proof. □

Remark 5.4.3. Similar to the context of commutative Hopf algebras, a grouplike element g in a commutative Hopf algebroid is always an invertible element with inverse $\mathscr{S}(g)$ it image by the antipode. In the particular situation of Lemma 5.4.2, it is easily seen from equation (41) that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(det_M^{-1}) &= \overline{(e_1^* \wedge \cdots \wedge e_m^*)^* \otimes_{T_{\bigwedge^m M^*}} (e_1^* \wedge \cdots \wedge e_m^*)} \otimes_A \overline{(e_1^* \wedge \cdots \wedge e_m^*)^* \otimes_{T_{\bigwedge^m M^*}} (e_1^* \wedge \cdots \wedge e_m^*)} \\ &= det_M^{-1} \otimes_A det_M^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

which shows that det_M^{-1} is a groulike element, and so is det_M. Therefore, we have that

$$\mathscr{S}(det_M) = det_M^{-1}. \tag{42}$$

On the other hand, the structure of right U°-comodule over A_A which corresponds to this grouplike element and, up to an isomorphism, is the right U°-comodule structure of $\bigwedge^m M^*$ deduced by applying Proposition 5.3.1, is given by the coaction $A \to U^\circ$, $a \mapsto det_M^{-1} a$. This corresponds to the differential module (A_A, ∂^M) with differential

$$\partial^M : A \longrightarrow A, \quad \Big(a \longmapsto -tr(mat(M))\, a + \partial a\Big).$$

For a given M, let $\overset{\circ}{U}_M$ be the $(A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A)$–subalgebra of U° generated by the image of $M^* \otimes_{T_M} M$ via the canonical map $M^* \otimes_{T_M} M \to U^\circ$. It is not hard to see that $\overset{\circ}{U}_M$ is generated as an $(A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A)$–algebra by the set $\left\{\overline{e_j^* \otimes_{T_M} e_i}\right\}_{1 \le i,j \le m}$ for any given a dual basis $\{e_i, e_i^*\}_{1 \le i \le m}$ as above.

Proposition 5.4.4. *The subalgebra $\overset{\circ}{U}_M$ is in fact a sub-bialgebroid of U°, which contains the element det_M defined in Eq.* (40)*. Furthermore, its localization algebra $\overset{\circ}{U}_M[det_M^{-1}]$ is a Hopf subalgebroid of U°.*

Proof. The first statement is immediate, since we already know that

$$\Delta(\overline{e_j^* \otimes_{T_M} e_i}) = \sum_k \overline{e_j^* \otimes_{T_M} e_k} \otimes_A \overline{e_k^* \otimes_{T_M} e_i},$$

where Δ denotes the comultiplication of U°. Since Δ is already multiplicative, we get a coassociative and multiplicative A–bimodule map $\Delta : \overset{\circ}{U}_M \to \overset{\circ}{U}_M \otimes_A \overset{\circ}{U}_M$. Observe that we are using that A is a principal ideal domain and that U° is torsionfree over A on both sides. The fact that $det_M \in \overset{\circ}{U}_M$ clearly follows from the formulae of the multiplication in U° displayed in (25).

Next we show that the antipode of U° restricts to $\overset{\circ}{U}_M[det_M^{-1}]$, since we already have by Lemma 5.4.2 the inclusion $\overset{\circ}{U}_M[det_M^{-1}] \subseteq U^\circ$. Denote by u_{ij} the generating elements $\overline{e_i^* \otimes_{T_M} e_j}$, for $i, j = 1, \ldots, m$, and by det_u the determinant det_M of Eq.(40).

Recall that the $(j,i)^{th}$ cofactor of the matrix $(u_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le m}$ is then defined to be

$$v_{ji} \;=\; (-1)^{i+j} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{P}(j,i)} (-1)^{sg(\sigma)} u_{j_1 \sigma(j_1)} \ldots u_{j_{m-1} \sigma(j_{m-1})},$$

where $\mathcal{P}(j,i)$ is the set of all bijections from $\{1, \ldots, m\} \setminus \{j\} = \{j_1 < \cdots < j_{m-1}\}$ to $\{1, \ldots, m\} \setminus \{i\}$, and $sg(\sigma)$ is the signature of σ viewed as a permutation in S_{m-1}. Clearly, each of the v_{ji} is an element in the $(A \otimes A)$-subalgebra $\overset{\circ}{U}_M$. Writing down this element using the notation of Eq.(39), we get

$$v_{ji} \;=\; (-1)^{i+j} \overline{e_{j_{m-1}}^* \star \cdots \star e_{j_1}^* \otimes_{T_{M^{\otimes_{m-1}}}} det(e_1, \ldots, \overset{\vee}{e_i}, \ldots, e_m)},$$

where $det(e_1, \ldots, \overset{\vee}{e_i}, \ldots, e_m)$ is the resulting determinant of the vector $(e_1, \ldots, e_m)$ after removing the component e_i, see Eq.(39).

Define now the following morphism in the category $\mathbf{Diff}_A$, by the composition

$$h^m : M^* \xrightarrow{db^{\otimes_{m-1}} \otimes M^*} (M \otimes M^*)^{\otimes_{m-1}} \otimes M^* \longrightarrow M^{\otimes_{m-1}} \otimes (M^*)^{\otimes_m} \twoheadrightarrow M^{\otimes_{m-1}} \otimes \Big(\bigwedge^m M^*\Big),$$

where $db : A \to M \otimes_A M^*$ is the coevaluation map of Eq.(30) up to the differential isomorphism $M \otimes_A M^* \cong \mathrm{Hom}_A(M, M)$, the second map is the flip, and the third one is obvious. On elements, h^m acts by

$$h^m(\varphi) \;=\; \sum_{k_1,\ldots,k_{m-1}} (e_{k_{m-1}} \otimes_A \ldots \otimes_A e_{k_1}) \otimes_A (e^*_{k_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e^*_{k_{m-1}} \wedge \varphi),$$

for every $\varphi \in M^*$, where each of the index k_l runs the set $\{1, \ldots, m\}$, for each of the $l = 1, \cdots, m-1$. Reordering the indices, we obtain

$$h^m(e^*_i) \;=\; (-1)^i det(e_1, \ldots, \overset{\vee}{e_i}, \ldots, e_m) \otimes_A (e^*_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e^*_m). \tag{43}$$

Using this equality and the above notation, we show that

$$\gamma(e_j) \;=\; \Big[(-1)^j e^*_{j_{m-1}} \star \cdots \star e^*_{j_1} \star (e^*_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e^*_m)^*\Big] \circ h^m, \tag{44}$$

where as above $\gamma : M \to (M^*)^*$ is the canonical isomorphism. Now, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
det_u^{-1} \,.\, v_{ji} &\overset{(41)}{=} \overline{(e^*_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e^*_m)^* \otimes_{T_{\wedge^m M^*}} (e^*_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e^*_m)}\,(-1)^{i+j}\,\overline{e^*_{j_{m-1}} \star \cdots \star e^*_{j_1} \otimes_{T_{M^{\otimes m-1}}} det(e_1, \ldots, \overset{\vee}{e_i}, \ldots, e_m)} \\
&\overset{(43)}{=} (-1)^j \overline{e^*_{j_{m-1}} \star \cdots \star e^*_{j_1} \star (e^*_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e^*_m)^* \otimes_{T_{M^{\otimes m-1} \otimes \wedge^m M^*}} h^m(e^*_i)} \\
&= (-1)^j \overline{e^*_{j_{m-1}} \star \cdots \star e^*_{j_1} \star (e^*_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e^*_m)^* h^m \otimes_{T_{M^*}} e^*_i)} \\
&\overset{(44)}{=} \overline{\gamma(e_j) \otimes_{T_{M^*}} e^*_i} \;=\; \mathscr{S}_M(u_{ij}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$det_u^{-1} \,.\, v_{ji} \;=\; \mathscr{S}_M(u_{ij}). \tag{45}$$

This with Eq.(42) show that $\mathscr{S}$ is restricted to the localization $\overset{\circ}{U}_M[det_M^{-1}]$, and this completes the proof. □

From now on, we denote by $U^\circ_{(M)} := \overset{\circ}{U}_M[det_M^{-1}]$ the Hopf sub-algebroid of U° stated in Proposition 5.4.4.

Fix a differential module $(M, \partial) \in \mathbf{Diff}_A$ with a fixed basis $\{e_i, e_i^*\}_{1 \le i \le m}$, and keep the above notations. Given two positive integers k, l, we denote by $M_{(k,l)} := M^{\otimes l} \otimes_A (M^*)^{\otimes k}$ which we consider as a differential module. We denote by $\langle M \rangle_\otimes$ the full sub-category of $\mathbf{Diff}_A$ of finite sub-quotients differential modules of M. Thus, an object (X, ∂) of $\mathbf{Diff}_A$ belongs to $\langle M \rangle_\otimes$ if it is a quotient of the form $X = X_2/X_1$, where $X_1 \subseteq X_2 \subseteq \oplus M_{(k,l)}$ (finite direct sum). Since $\mathbf{Diff}_A$ is by Lemma 5.2.1 an abelian category, a differential module (X, ∂) belongs to $\langle M \rangle_\otimes$ if and only if it is a sub-object of an object finitely generated by those $M_{(k,l)}$'s.

Let us denote by $\omega_{|\langle M \rangle_\otimes} : \langle M \rangle_\otimes \longrightarrow add(A)$ the restriction of the fibre functor $\omega : \mathbf{Diff}_A \longrightarrow add(A)$, and by $(A, \mathscr{R}(\langle M \rangle_\otimes))$ its associated commutative Hopf algebroid defined by equation (13), see Remark 4.2.6. Since $\langle M \rangle_\otimes$ is a symmetric rigid monoidal $\mathbb{C}$-linear abelian, locally finite category and $\omega_{|\langle M \rangle_\otimes}$ is an exact faithful functor, we have that $(A, \mathscr{R}(\langle M \rangle_\otimes))$ enjoys similar properties (i)-(iv) of Corollary 5.3.2. In particular we have that the fibre functor $\omega_{|\langle M \rangle_\otimes}$ induces a symmetric monoidal equivalence of categories $\langle M \rangle_\otimes \simeq \mathsf{comod}_{\mathscr{R}(\langle M \rangle_\otimes)}$ to the category of $\mathscr{R}(\langle M \rangle_\otimes)$-comodules with finitely generated underlying A-modules. On the other hand, the embedding $\langle M \rangle_\otimes \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Diff}_A = \mathcal{A}_U$ which commutes with the forgetful functors, leads to the canonical map

$$\phi : (A, \mathscr{R}(\langle M \rangle_\otimes)) \longrightarrow (A, U^\circ), \quad \Big(p^* \otimes_{T_P} p + \mathcal{J}_{\langle M \rangle_\otimes} \longmapsto p^* \otimes_{T_P} p + \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{A}_U}\Big). \tag{46}$$

The following is our main result of this section.

Theorem 5.4.5. *The morphism displayed in equation* (46)*, induces an isomorphism $\mathscr{R}(\langle M \rangle_\otimes) \cong U^\circ_{(M)}$ of Hopf algebroids, where $U^\circ_{(M)}$ is as in Proposition 5.4.4. Consequently, we get that the equivalence of categories stated in Corollary 5.3.2(ii), rectricts to a symmetric monoidal $\mathbb{C}$-linear equivalence of categories:*

$$\langle M \rangle_\otimes \longrightarrow \mathsf{comod}_{U^\circ_{(M)}},$$

where $\mathsf{comod}_{U^\circ_{(M)}}$ is the full subcategory of $U^\circ_{(M)}$-comdules with finitely generated underlying A-modules.

Proof. The map ϕ is clearly an injective morphism of Hopf algebroids. We then identify $\mathscr{R}(\langle M\rangle_\otimes)$ with its image. Consider now the $(A \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A)$–subalgebra $\overset{\circ}{U}_M$ of U° described in Proposition 5.4.4. Any generic element in $\overset{\circ}{U}_M$ of the form $\overline{e_j^* \otimes_{T_M} e_i}$ obviously belongs to the subalgebra $\mathscr{R}(\langle M\rangle_\otimes)$, whence $\overset{\circ}{U}_M \subseteq \mathscr{R}(\langle M\rangle_\otimes)$, as M is a differential module in the subcategory $\langle M\rangle_\otimes$. The determinant det_M of equation (40) and its inverse given by equation (41), are both elements in $\mathscr{R}(\langle M\rangle_\otimes)$. Therefore, $U^\circ_{(M)} = \overset{\circ}{U}_M[det_M^{-1}] \subseteq \mathscr{R}(\langle M\rangle_\otimes)$.

Conversely, take an object N in $\langle M\rangle_\otimes$ of the form $N = M_{(k,l)}$, for some positive integers, k, l. Consider (N, ϱ_N) as a right U°-comodule, via the isomorphism χ (see subsection 3.2). Denote by

$$C(N) := \sum_{f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{U^\circ}(N, U^\circ)} \mathrm{Im}(f)$$

the A-subbimodule of coefficients of N. By Corollary 5.3.2(*iii*), U°_A and ${}_AU^\circ$ are projective modules, and so by [12, Example 2.5], we can apply [12, Proposition 2.12]. Therefore, $C(N) \subseteq U^\circ_{(M)}$, since we have $\varrho_N(N) \subseteq (N \otimes_A \tau)(N \otimes_A U^\circ_{(M)})$, where $\tau : U^\circ_{(M)} \hookrightarrow U^\circ$ is the canonical injection. The same inclusion holds true: $C(N) \subseteq U^\circ_{(M)}$, if we take $N = \oplus_{(k,l)} M_{(k,l)}$ a finite direct sums. Taking a sequence $X_1 \subseteq X_2 \subseteq N$ of differential modules, with N as before, we get by [12, Proposition 2.12] that

$$C(X_1) \subseteq C(X_2) \subseteq C(N) \subseteq U^\circ_{(M)}, \quad C(X_2/X_1) \subseteq C(X_2) \subseteq C(N) \subseteq U^\circ_{(M)}.$$

Henceforth, any object in $\langle M\rangle_\otimes$ is a right $U^\circ_{(M)}$-comodule (under the isomorphism χ). Now, take an element of the form $\overline{p^* \otimes_{T_P} p} \in \mathscr{R}(\langle M\rangle_\otimes)$, thus P is an object in $\langle M\rangle_\otimes$. We know that P is a right $U^\circ_{(M)}$-comodule, and so $p^*(p_{(0)})p_{(1)} \in U^\circ_{(M)}$. Up to the canonical isomorphism can_{U° of equation (14), we have the equality $\overline{p^* \otimes_{T_P} p} = p^*(p_{(0)})p_{(1)}$, which shows that $\overline{p^* \otimes_{T_P} p} \in U^\circ_{(M)}$. Therefore, $\mathscr{R}(\langle M\rangle_\otimes) \subseteq U^\circ_{(M)}$.

In summary, we have an isomorphism $(A, \mathscr{R}(\langle M\rangle_\otimes)) \cong (A, U^\circ_{(M)})$ of Hopf algebroids. This leads to the following commutative diagram of symmetric monoidal categories:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\langle M\rangle_\otimes & \hookrightarrow & \mathbf{Diff}_A = \mathscr{A}_U \\
\simeq \downarrow & & \\
\mathsf{comod}_{\mathscr{R}(\langle M\rangle_\otimes)} & \cong \downarrow \chi & \cong \downarrow \chi \\
\simeq \downarrow & & \\
\mathsf{comod}_{U^\circ_{(M)}} & \hookrightarrow & \mathsf{comod}_{U^\circ}
\end{array}$$

which shows the stated equivalence of monoidal categories. □

Let (M, ∂) be a differential module over A and consider the attached Hopf algebroid $(A, U^\circ_{(M)})$ of Theorem 5.4.5. Denote by $\mathscr{H}_M : \mathsf{Alg}_{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathsf{Grpds}$ its associate presheaf of groupoids and let $\mathscr{H}_M(\mathbb{C})$ be its fibre at $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$, that is, the *character groupoid* of $(A, U^\circ_{(M)})$. We know that $(A, U^\circ_{(M)})$ is a finitely generated Hopf alegrboid (i.e., both A and $U^\circ_{(M)}$ are finitely generated $\mathbb{C}$-alegbras), thus, $\mathscr{H}_M$ is an affine algebraic $\mathbb{C}$-groupoid scheme in the fpqc (fidèlement plate quasi-compacte) topology. Moreover, the category of comodules $\mathsf{comod}_{U^\circ_{(M)}}$ is identified with the category of $\mathbb{C}$-representations of the groupoid $\mathscr{H}_M$, see [8]. In this way, and in comparison with the classical case of differential Galois theory of fields [31, Theorem 2.33], by Theorem 5.4.5 we can refer to $\mathscr{H}_M(\mathbb{C})$ as a *differential Galois algebraic groupoid* of the differential module (M, ∂) (see Remark 5.4.7 below for a brief discussion on the uniqueness of this groupoid). Furthermore, $U^\circ_{(M)}$ satisfies similar conditions (i)-(iv) of Corollary 5.3.2, and one can show that $\mathscr{H}_M$ is in fact a *transitive groupoid scheme* in the sense of [8], see also [4, 9].

Next, we show that $\mathscr{H}_M(\mathbb{C})$ is a sub-groupoid of the induced algebraic groupoid of the general linear group $GL_m(\mathbb{C})$ along the map $\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \to \{*\}$ (the algebraic set with one point), where m is the rank of M. So let $\{e_i, e_i^*\}_{1 \le i \le m}$ be a dual basis for M. Consider the coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[X_{ij}, det_X^{-1}]$ of the complex general linear group of order m with indices $i, j \in \{1, \cdots, m\}$. Recall that this a Hopf $\mathbb{C}$-algebra with structure maps:

$$\Delta(X_{ij}) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} X_{ik} \otimes_A X_{kj}, \quad \varepsilon(X_{ij}) = \delta_{ij}, \quad S(X_{ij}) = det_X^{-1} Y_{ji}, \tag{47}$$

where δ_{ij} is Kronecker's symbol, and where Y_{ji} is the $(j,i)^{th}$ cofactor of the matrix (X_{ij}). This is also a differential $\mathbb{C}$-algebra, where the differential is given by

$$\partial(X_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le m} := (X'_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le m} = mat(M)(X_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le m}, \tag{48}$$

and the differential of $\det_X^{-1}$ is by extension $\partial(det_X^{-1}) = -\partial(det_X)det_X^{-2}$.

The base extension of this Hopf algebra via the algebra map $\mathbb{C} \to A$ (see Example 1.3.5), leads to the commutative Hopf algebroid $A\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{C}[X_{ij}, det_X^{-1}]\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}A$ which is isomorphic to the polynomial $(A\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}A)$-algebra $(A\otimes_{\mathbb{C}}A)[X_{ij}, det_X^{-1}]$. Furthermore, there is a surjective map:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_M : (A\otimes A)[X_{ij}, det_X^{-1}] &\longrightarrow U^{\circ}_{(M)} \\ X_{ij} &\longmapsto \overline{e_j^*\otimes_{T_M} e_i}, \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

of commutative Hopf algebroids. The affine algebraic groupoid attached to the Hopf algebroid $(A\otimes A)[X_{ij}, det_X^{-1}]$ is also transitive, see [9, Theorem A], and its character groupoid is given by:

$$\mathscr{G}^m : \quad \mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \times GL_m(\mathbb{C}) \times \mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \underset{\underset{pr_1}{\longrightarrow}}{\overset{pr_3}{\rightrightarrows}} \mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}},$$

where the source and target are, respectively, pr_3 and pr_1 the third and first projections, the identity map sends $x \to \iota(x) = (x, I_m, x)$, where I_m is the identity of $GL_m(\mathbb{C})$. The multiplication and the inverse maps of this groupoid are given by:

$$(x, \mathfrak{a}, y)\,.\,(y, \mathfrak{b}, z) \;=\; (x, \mathfrak{ab}, z), \quad (x, \mathfrak{a}, y)^{-1} \;=\; (y, \mathfrak{a}^{-1}, x).$$

This is clearly a transitive groupoid, and so there is only one type of isotropy groups, namely, each of them is isomorphic to the general linear group $GL_m(\mathbb{C})$. The groupoid $\mathscr{G}^m$ is in fact the induced groupoid of the groupoid $GL_m(\mathbb{C})$ (with only one object) along the map $\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}} \to \{*\}$, see [9, Example 2.4].

Now, using the morphism of equation (49) we can claim the following

Corollary 5.4.6. *There is a monomorphism of affine algebraic groupoids* $\mathscr{H}_M(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \mathscr{G}^m$.

We finish by the following remark where we discuss the uniqueness of the differential Galois groupoid.

Remark 5.4.7. Keep the above notations and assume that there is a finitely generated flat Hopf algebroid $(A, \mathcal{H})$ such that there is a symmetric monoidal $\mathbb{C}$-linear equivalence of categories $\langle M\rangle_{\otimes} \simeq \mathsf{comod}_{\mathcal{H}}$ to the category of right $\mathcal{H}$-comodules with fgp underlying A-modules. Assume further that $(A, \mathcal{H})$ is geometrically transitive (see [9], or equivalently that the associated affine $\mathbb{C}$-groupoid scheme $\mathscr{H}$ is transitive). Then by Theorem 5.4.5 in conjunction with Deligne's Theorem [4, Theorem 5.2], there is a symmetric monoidal $\mathbb{C}$-linear equivalence of categories $\mathsf{Comod}_{\mathcal{H}} \simeq \mathsf{Comod}_{U^{\circ}_{(M)}}$ of all comodules. Therefore by [13, Theorem A], there is a two-stage zig-zag of weak equivalences connecting $(A, \mathcal{H})$ and $(A, U^{\circ}_{(M)})$, that is, they are weakly equivalent Hopf algebroids. Therefore their character groupoids should be also weakly equivalent, that is, $\mathscr{H}_M(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathscr{H}(\mathbb{C})$ are weakly equivalent affine algebraic groupoids. This means that, in contrast with the classical case of differential Galois theory where the Galois group is unique up to isomorphisms, in this framework the differential Galois groupoid is unique up to weak equivalences.

References

[1] J. Y. Abuhlail, J. Gómez-Torrecillas and R. Wisbauer, *Dual coalgebras of algebras over commutative rings*. J. Pure Appl. Alg. **153**, 107–120, (2000).

[2] J. E. Björk, *Rings of differential operators*. North-Holland Publishing Company Amsterdam, 1979.

[3] G. Böhm, *Hopf algebroids*, Handbook of algebra, Vol. 6, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2009, pp. 173–236.

[4] A. Bruguières, *Théorie tannakienne non commutative*. Commun. in Algebra **22**, 5817–5860 (1994).

[5] T. Brzeziński and R. Wisbauer, *Corings and Comodules*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.

[6] S. U. Chase, *Group scheme actions by inner automorphisms*. Commun. Algebra, **4**(5), 403–434 (1976).

[7] S. Chemla, F. Gavarini and N. Kowalzig, *Duality Features of Left Hopf Algebroids*. Algebr. Represent. Theor. **19**, 913–941, (2016).

[8] P. Deligne, *Catégories tannakiennes*. In *The Grothendieck Festschrift* (P. Cartier et al., eds), Progr. math., 87, vol. II, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA. 1990, pp. 111–195.

[9] L. El Kaoutit, *On geometrically transitive Hopf algebroids*, arXiv:1508.05761v2 [math:AC] (2015).

[10] L. El Kaoutit and J. Gómez-Torrecillas, *Comatrix corings: Galois corings, descent theory, and a structure theorem for cosemisimple corings*. Math. Z., **244**, 887–906 (2003).

[11] L. El Kaoutit and J. Gómez-Torrecillas, *Infinite comatrix corings*. Int. Math. Res. Notices, **39**, 2017–2037 (2004).

[12] L. El Kaoutit, J. Gómez-Torrecillas and F.J. Lobillo, *Semisimple corings*. Alg. Collq., **11**:4, 427–442 (2004).

[13] L. El Kaoutit and N. Kowalzig, *Morita theory for Hopf algebroids, principal bibundles, and weak equivalences*. Documenta Math., **22**, 551–609 (2017).

[14] J. Gómez-Torrecillas and J. Vercruysse, *Comatrix corings and Galois comodules over firm rings*, Algebras Repr. Th. **10** (2007), 271-306
[15] Phùng Hô Hai, *Tannaka-Krein duality for Hopf algebroids*. Israel J. Math. **167** (2008), 193–225 .
[16] J. Huebschmann, *Poisson cohomology and quantization*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **408**, 57–113 (1990).
[17] L. Kadison and K. Szlachányi, *Bialgebroid actions on depth two extensions and duality*. Adv.Math.**179** (1), 75–121, (2003).
[18] N. Kowalzig, *Hopf algebroids and their cyclic theory*, Ph. D. thesis, Universiteit Utrecht and Universiteit van Amsterdam, 2009.
[19] K. C. H. Mackenzie, *General theory of Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids*. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series **213**. Cambridge University Press, 2005.
[20] I. Moerdijk and J. Mrčun, *On the universal enveloping algebra of a Lie algebroid*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **138**, no. 9, 3135–3145 (2010).
[21] W. D. Nichols, *The Konstant structure theorems for K/k-Hopf algebras*. J. Algebra **97**, 313–328 (1985).
[22] D. C. Ravenel, *Complex Cobordism and Stable Homotopy Groups of Spheres*. Pure and Applied Mathematics Series, Academic Press, San Diego, 1986.
[23] G. Rinehart, *Differential forms on general commutative algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **108**, 195–222 (1963).
[24] D. Rumynin, *Duality for Hopf algebroids*. J. Algebra, **223**, 237–255 (2000).
[25] P. Schauenburg, *Bialgebras over noncommutative rings and a structure theorem for Hopf bimodules*, Appl. Categ. Structures **6** no. 2, 193–222 (1998).
[26] P. Schauenburg, *Duals and doubles of quantum groupoids ($\times_R$-Hopf algebras)*, New trends in Hopf algebra theory (La Falda, 1999), Contemp. Math., vol. 267, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000, pp. 273–299.
[27] B. Stenström, *Rings of Quotients*, Springer, Berlin, 1975.
[28] M. Sweedler, *Groups of simple algebras*. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **44**, 79–189 (1974).
[29] M. Sweedler, *The predual theorem to the Jacobson-Bourbaki theorem*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **213**, 391–406 (1975).
[30] M. Takeuchi, *Groups of algebras over $A \otimes \overline{A}$*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **29**, no. 3, 459–492 (1977).
[31] M. van der Put and M. F. Singer, *Galois theory of linear differential equations*. A Series of Comprehensive Studies in Mathematics, Vol. **328**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.

Universidad de Granada, Departamento de Álgebra y IEMath. Facultad de Educación, Econonía y Tecnología de Ceuta. Cortadura del Valle, s/n. E-51001 Ceuta, Spain
E-mail address: kaoutit@ugr.es
URL: http://www.ugr.es/~kaoutit/

Department of Algebra and CITIC, Universidad de Granada, E18071 Granada, Spain
E-mail address: gomezj@ugr.es
URL: http://www.ugr.es/~gomezj/